
UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-OSB

	FORM 10-Q55	
[X]	Quarterly Report Under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchang Act of 1934	е
	For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2007	
[_]	Transition Report Under Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchang Act of 1934	е
	For the transition period from to	
	Commission file number 0-26285	
	CNS RESPONSE, INC.	
	(Exact Name of Small Business Issuer as Specified in its Charter)	
	DELAWARE 87-0419387 or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer	,
incorpor	ration or organization) Identification No.)
	2755 BRISTOL STREET, SUITE 285 COSTA MESA, CA 92626 (Address of principal executive offices)	
	(714) 545-3288 (Issuer's telephone number)	
shorter	Check whether the issuer (1) filed all reports required to be filed be 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for sucperiod that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2 subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No [_]	h
defined	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (a in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [_] No [X]	S
par valu	As of April 15, 2007, the issuer had 24,638,912 shares of common stock te \$.001 per share, issued and outstanding.	,
	Transitional Small Business Disclosure Format: Yes [_] No [X]	
======		=
	CNS RESPONSE, INC.	
	INDEX TO FORM 10-QSB	
	PAG 	E -
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	3
Item 1.	Financial Statements	3
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006	3
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2007 (unaudited) and September 30, 2006	4
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006	5
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006	6
	Condensed Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	7
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation	7
Item 3.	Controls and Procedures4	8

2

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<TABLE>

CNS RESPONSE, INC. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

<caption></caption>	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,			IDED MARCH 31,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
<s> REVENUES</s>	<c> \$ 66,100</c>	<c></c>	<c> \$ 112,700</c>	<pre><c> \$ 85,800</c></pre>
OPERATING EXPENSES: Cost of revenues (including amortization expense of \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2007, \$19,900 for the three months ended March 31, 2006, \$19,900 for the six months ended March 31, 2007, \$39,800 for the six				
months ended March 31, 2006) Research and development	29,600 271,100 21,500 680,500	42,300 126,000 32,300 136,400	76,600 451,200 47,500 874,700	75,700 204,200 71,300 265,900
Total operating expenses	1,002,700	337,000	1,450,000	617,100
OPERATING LOSS	(936 , 600)	(287,700)	(1,337,300)	(531,300)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE): Interest expense, net Other	(142,200)	(65,800) 	(193,200) 61,700	(163,000)
Total other income (expense)	(132,300)	(65,800)	(131,500)	(163,000)
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(1,068,900) 800	(353,500)	(1,468,800) 800	(694,300)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ (1,069,700) =======	\$ (353,500) ======	\$ (1,469,600)	\$ (694,300)
BASIC NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ (0.07) ======	\$ (0.17) ======	\$ (0.12) ======	\$ (0.34)
DILUTED NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE	\$ (0.07) ======	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.12) ======	\$ (0.34) ======
WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING:				
Basic	14,322,826	2,068,823	12,425,285	2,068,823
Diluted	14,322,826	2,068,823	12,425,285	2,068,823

 | | | |See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

<TABLE>

CNS RESPONSE, INC.
UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

<CAPTION>

MARCH 31, SEPTEMBER 30, 2007 2006 (unaudited)

<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>
ASSETS CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash	\$ 6,383,000	\$ 204,900
accounts of \$17,200 in 2007 and \$4,800 in 2006)	47,000	·
Prepaids and other	228 , 700	·
Total current assets	6,658,700	297,300
OTHER ASSETS:		
<pre>Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$558,100 in 2007</pre>		
and \$538,200 in 2006)		19,900
Loans to related parties Other assets	 8,700	96,600 80,400
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 6,667,400 ======	·
TINDILIMING AND GROOVING DEDGI		
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable including (\$8,000 in 2007 and \$8,000 in 2006 to related parties)	361 000	\$ 666,100
Accrued liabilities	250,000	
Deferred compensation (including \$56,700		,
in 2007 and \$58,000 in 2006 to related	70 100	75 200
parties) Accrued consulting fees	79,100 103,000	·
Accrued interest (including \$0 in 2007 and		
\$414,700 in 2006 to related parties)	32,500	
Note payable to NuPharm Database, LLC Convertible promissory notes (including		287,400
\$0 in 2007 and \$1,768,300 in 2006 to		
related parties)	50,000	3,116,700
Derivative instrument liability	2,041,500	
Total current liabilities	2,918,000	5,687,300
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES		
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT):		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value; authorized		
750,000,000 shares; 24,638,912 outstanding in 2007 and 7,902,940 outstanding in 2006	24,600	7,900
Additional paid-in capital	13,217,500	·
Accumulated deficit	(9,492,700)	(8,023,100)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	3,749,400	(5,193,100)
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS'		
EQUITY (DEFICIT)	\$ 6,667,400	\$ 494,200

 | |See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

4

<TABLE>

CNS RESPONSE, INC. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

<CAPTION>

10.12 1 2 0 1 V	SIX MONTHS E	NDED MARCH 31,
	2007	2006
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: Net income (loss)	\$(1,469,600)	\$ (694,300)
Amortization of intangibles	19,900 (51,800) 4,200	39,900
Non-cash interest expense	189,800	
Accounts receivable Prepaids and other Accounts payable	(21,600) (157,700) (170,300)	(8,500) (41,200)

Accrued liabilities Deferred compensation Accrued consulting	1,200 7,900 6,400	27,800 120,400 152,100
Accrued interest	7,300	160,600
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,634,300)	(243,200)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES-		
Increase in deposits	(3,000) (4,100)	
Net cash used in investing activities		
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	45.000	
Repayment of debt Proceeds from the sale of preferred stock, net of offering	(5,000)	
costs	1,662,900	
Proceeds from the sale of common stock, net of offering costs Proceeds from exercise of warrants	6,133,700 28,000	
Net cash provided by financing activities	7,819,600	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH	6,178,200 204,800	(243,200) 478,400
CASH- END OF PERIOD	\$ 6,383,000 ======	\$ 235,200 ======
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$ 2,300 ======	========
Income taxes	\$ 800	\$ 800
Conversion of preferred stock into common stock	\$ 5,958,200	
Common stock received as collection of loans receivable	\$ 171,300 ======	========
Derivative instrument liability	\$ 2,041,500	========

 | |</TABLE>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

<TABLE>

CNS RESPONSE, INC. UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

<CAPTION>

CAPTION			Additional	
For the six months ended March 31, 2007	Common	Stock	Paid-in	Accumulated
	Shares	Amount	Capital	Deficit
Total				
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>
<c></c>				
BALANCE - September 30,2006 \$ (5,193,100)	7,902,940	\$ 7,900	\$ 2,822,100	\$ (8,023,100)
Forgiveness of accrued interest from NuPharm and				
issuance and exercise of warrants by NuPharm 337,600	2,800,000	2,800	334,800	
Conversion of convertible promissory notes and				
accrued interest	5,993,515	6,000	4,061,100	
4,067,100	.,,	,,,,,	-,	
Issuance of stock in connection with mezzanine				
financing, net of offering costs of \$47,600	1,905,978	1,900	1,875,500	
1,877,400				
Issuance of stock for settlement of debt	11,015		1,300	
1,300				
Issuance of options in settlement of accrued consulting fees			27,000	
Issuance of stock in connection with private				
-				

placement, net of offering costs of \$991,600 6,016,900	5,840,375		5,800		6,011,100			
Issuance of stock as payment of placement agent fee	83,333		100		(100)			
Issuance of stock to repay note to NuPharm and related accrued interest	244,509		200		293,200			
Collection of loans receivable through the receipt of stock	(142,753)		(100)		(171,200)			
Stock-based compensation					4,200			
Derivative instrument liability				(2,041,500)			
Net loss for the six months ended March 31, 2007 (1,469,600)							1,469,600)	
Balance at March 31, 2007	24,638,912	\$	24,600		3,217,500	\$ (9,492,700)	\$
=======								
For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2006								
BALANCE - September 30,2005 \$ (8,077,500)	2,068,823	\$	2,100	\$	26,100	\$ (8,105,700)	
Net loss for the six months ended March 31, 2006 $(694,300)$							(694,300)	
BALANCE -March 31, 2006\$ (8,771,800)	2,068,823	\$	2,100	\$	26,100		8,800,000)	
========	=======	===	=======		======	===	======	

</TABLE>

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

6

CNS RESPONSE, INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of CNS Response, Inc. ("CNS," "we," "us," "our" or the "Company") have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and include all the accounts of CNS and its wholly owned subsidiary CNS California (see Note 2). Certain information and note disclosures, normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair statement of our financial position as of March 31, 2007 and our operating results, cash flows, and changes in stockholders' equity for the interim periods presented. The September 30, 2006 balance sheet was derived from our audited financial statements but does not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. These consolidated financial statements and the related notes should be read in conjunction with our financial statements and notes for the year ended September 30, 2006 which are included in our current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 3, 2007.

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and revenues and expenses in the financial statements. Examples of estimates subject to possible revision based upon the outcome of future events include, among others, recoverability of long-lived assets and goodwill, stock-based compensation, the allowance for doubtful accounts, the valuation of equity instruments, use and other taxes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2007 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the future periods or for the year ending September 30, 2007.

COMPLETION OF MERGER

On January 16, 2007, CNS Response, Inc. (formerly Strativation, Inc), a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), along with CNS Merger Corporation, a California corporation and the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary ("Merger Sub") entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with CNS Response, Inc, a privately held California corporation ("CNS California"), pursuant to which CNS California would be acquired by the Company in a merger transaction wherein Merger Sub would merge with and into CNS California, with CNS California being the surviving corporation (the "Merger"). On March 7, 2007, the Merger closed and CNS California became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. At the closing, the Company changed its name to CNS Response, Inc.

Accordingly, form a historical perspective, CNS California was deemed to have been the acquirer in the reverse merger and CNS California is deemed the survivor of the reorganization. As a result, the consolidated financial statements of the Company presented reflect the historical results of CNS California prior to the Merger, and of the combined entities following the merger, and do not include the historical financial results of the entity formerly known as Strativation, Inc. Common stock has been

7

retroactively restated to reflect the number of shares received by CNS California equity holders in the Merger after giving effect to the difference in par value, with the offset to additional paid-in capital. The equity of the Company survives the reorganization. Upon the closing of the reorganization, the Company changed its fiscal year to September 30. All costs associated with the Merger were expensed as incurred.

PRINCIPAL TERMS OF THE MERGER

On March 7, 2007, Merger Sub was merged with and into CNS California, the separate existence of Merger Sub ceased, and CNS California continued as the surviving corporation at the subsidiary level. Pursuant to the Merger, the issued and outstanding shares of common stock of CNS California were converted into an aggregate of 9,845,132 shares of Company Common Stock, and the issued and outstanding shares of Series A and B preferred stock of CNS California were converted into 5,993,515 and 1,905,978 shares of Company Common Stock, respectively. In addition warrants and options to purchase shares of common stock of CNS California were converted into warrants and options to purchase 4,271,414 and 4,136,103 shares of Company Common Stock, respectively. Following the Merger, the business conducted by the Company is the business conducted by CNS California.

Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, CNS Response, Inc. (formerly Strativation, Inc.) paid an advisory fee of \$475,000 to Richardson & Pattel, LLP, the Company's former legal counsel and a principal shareholder, immediately upon the closing of the Merger. The fee has been expensed as a cost of the merger.

Immediately after the closing of the Merger, and without taking into consideration the Private Placement Offering, the issuance of shares of common stock to repay the note to NuPharm Database, LLC and the tendering to the Company of shares of common stock by an officer and certain employees to repay their loans to CNS California described below, the Company had outstanding 18,696,781 shares of common stock, options to purchase 4,136,103 shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 4,271,414 shares of common stock.

ACCOUNTING TREATMENT OF THE MERGER AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

The Company accounted for the Merger as a reverse merger under generally accepted accounting principles. Therefore: (1) the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the periods before March 7, 2007, reflect only the operations of CNS California, and (2) the consolidated financial statements present the previously issued shares of Common Stock of the Company as having been issued pursuant to the merger on March 7, 2007, and the shares of Company Common Stock issued to the former shareholders of CNS California pursuant to the Merger as having been outstanding since CNS California's inception in 2000. No goodwill or other intangible asset was recorded as a result of the Merger. Immediately prior to the reverse merger on March 7, 2007, the Company had no material operations, assets, or liabilities. Therefore, pro forma financial statements are not presented.

THE PRIVATE PLACEMENT

Immediately following the closing of the Merger, the Company received gross proceeds of approximately \$7.0 million from the first closing of a private placement transaction (the "Private Placement") with institutional investors and other high net worth individuals ("Investors") (See Note 11 for terms of second closing). Pursuant to Subscription Agreements entered into with these Investors,

the Company sold 5,840,375 Investment Units, at \$1.20 per Investment Unit. Each Investment Unit consists of one share of Company common stock, and a five year non-callable warrant to purchase three-tenths of one share of the Company common stock at an exercise price of \$1.80 per share. The value of the warrants was determined to be \$1,503,600 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the

8

following assumptions: a volatility rate of 100%, risk free interest rate of 5%, an expected life of five years and zero dividends. The value of the warrants has been recorded as a liability in accordance with SFAS No. 133 and EITF 00-19. As of March 31, 2007, the value of the warrants had not changed.

As partial consideration for services rendered further to the Private Placement, the Company's placement agent was issued 83,333 shares of common stock, warrants to purchase 467,230 shares of Company common stock at an exercise price of \$1.44 per share and warrants to purchase 140,161 shares of Company's common stock at exercise price of \$1.80 per share. The value of the warrants was determined to be \$537,900 using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: a volatility rate of 100%, risk free interest rate of 5%, an expected life of five years and zero dividends. The value of the warrants has been recorded as a liability in accordance with SFAS No. 133 and EITF 00-19. As of March 31, 2007, the value of the warrants had not changed.

See Notes 4, 6, 7 and 8 for description of other transactions completed concurrently with the completion of the private placement.

3. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments—an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140." SFAS No. 155 eliminates the exemption from applying SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," to interests in securitized financial assets so that similar instruments are accounted for similarly regardless of the form of the instruments. SFAS No. 155 also allows issuers of financial statements to elect fair value measurement at acquisition, at issuance, or when a previously recognized financial instrument is subject to a remeasurement (new basis) event, on an instrument—by—instrument basis, in cases in which a derivative would otherwise have to be bifurcated. SFAS No. 155 is effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 155 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 156, "Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets—an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140." SFAS No. 156 requires that all separately recognized servicing assets and servicing liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. It also permits, but does not require, the subsequent measurement of servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value. An entity that uses derivative instruments to mitigate the risks inherent in servicing assets and servicing liabilities is required to account for those derivative instruments at fair value. Under SFAS No. 156, an entity can elect subsequent fair value measurement of its servicing assets and servicing liabilities by class, thus simplifying its accounting and providing for income statement recognition of the potential offsetting changes in fair value of the servicing assets, servicing liabilities, and related derivative instruments. An entity that elects to subsequently measure servicing assets and servicing liabilities at fair value is expected to recognize declines in fair value of the servicing assets and servicing liabilities more consistently than by reporting other—than—temporary impairments. SFAS No. 156 is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006. The adoption of SFAS No. 156 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2006, Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 06-3, "How Sales Taxes Collected from Customers and Remitted to Governmental Authorities Should Be Presented in the Income Statement (That Is, Gross Versus Net Presentation)," or EITF 06-3, was issued. EITF 06-3 requires disclosure of the presentation of taxes on either a gross (included in revenues and costs) or a net (excluded from revenues) basis as an accounting policy decision. The provisions of this standard are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2006. We do not expect the adoption of EITF 06-3 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

9

measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. The Interpretation requires that the Company recognize in the financial statements, the impact of a tax position, if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure. The provisions of FIN 48 are effective beginning January 1, 2007 with the cumulative effect of the change in accounting principle recorded as an adjustment to opening retained earnings. We are continuing to evaluate the possible impact of FIN 48, on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the SEC released Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements When Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" (SAB 108). SAB 108 provides quidance on how the effects of the carryover or reversal of prior year financial statement misstatements should be considered in quantifying a current year misstatement. Prior practice allowed the evaluation of materiality on the basis of the error quantified as the amount by which the current year income statement was misstated (rollover method) or the cumulative error quantified as the cumulative amount by which the current year balance sheet was misstated (iron curtain method). The guidance provided in SAB 108 requires both methods to be used in evaluating materiality. Immaterial prior year errors may be corrected with the first filing of prior year financial statements after adoption. The cumulative effect of the correction would be reflected in the opening balance sheet with appropriate disclosure of the nature and amount of each individual error corrected in the cumulative adjustment, as well as a disclosure of the cause of the error and that the error had been deemed to be immaterial in the past. The adoption of SAB 108 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements," or SFAS No. 157. This Statement defines fair value as used in numerous accounting pronouncements, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, and expands disclosure related to the use of fair value measures in financial statements. SFAS No. 157 does not expand the use of fair value measures in financial statements, but standardizes its definition and guidance in GAAP. The Standard emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement and not an entity-specific measurement based on an exchange transaction in which the entity sells an asset or transfers a liability (exit price). SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy from observable market data as the highest level to fair value based on an entity's own fair value assumptions as the lowest level. The Statement is to be effective for our financial statements issued in 2008; however, earlier application is encouraged. We believe that SFAS No. 157 will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158, "Employers' Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans-an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)," which requires the recognition of the over-funded or under-funded status of a defined benefit postretirement plan in a company's balance sheet. This portion of the new guidance is effective on December 31, 2006. Additionally, the pronouncement eliminates the option for companies to use a measurement date prior to their fiscal year-end effective December 31, 2008. SFAS No. 158 provides two approaches to transition to a fiscal year-end measurement date, both of which are to be applied prospectively. Under the first approach, plan assets are measured on September 30, 2007 and then remeasured on January 1, 2008. Under the alternative approach, a 15-month measurement will be determined on September 30, 2007 that will cover the period until the fiscal year-end measurement is required on December 31, 2008. We do not have any defined benefit pension or postretirement plans that

10

are subject to SFAS No. 158. As such, we do not expect the pronouncement to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115," which permits companies to measure many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis (the fair value option). Adoption of the standard is optional and may be adopted beginning in the first quarter of 2007. We are currently evaluating the possible impact of adopting SFAS No. 159 on our consolidated financial statements.

4. LOANS TO RELATED PARTIES

In September 2006, the Company loaned certain officer and employees \$167,200 under notes bearing interest at 5.26% per annum, compounded annually, and requiring payment on or after the earlier of (i) the date that is two years following the date of the note, and (ii) a demand by the Company following the

date on which the Company has received an aggregate of \$5,000,000 from the sale(s) of its capital stock provided the assigned value (as defined) of the stock at the time of the demand is more than \$1. The notes provided that repayment of the notes could be made in one of the following ways, or in combination of both:

- (a) in cash, or
- (b) by tendering Common Stock of the Company owned by the borrower, with an aggregate Assigned Value (as defined) equal to the principal and accrued interest on the notes.

Pursuant to the abovementioned terms, the Company demanded payment of all such notes upon the completion of the merger and private placement for approximately \$7.0 million described in Note 2 above. The officer who owed the Company \$93,900, including interest, repaid the loan by tendering 78,219 shares of the Company's Common Stock to the Company. Certain other employees repaid their loans by tendering an aggregate of 64,534 shares of the Company's common stock to the Company.

DEFERRED OFFERING COSTS

Direct, incremental costs incurred in connection with the private placement for approximately \$7.0 million described in Note 2 have been recorded as a reduction of the proceeds received from such offering.

6. CONVERTIBLE PROMISSORY NOTES

In October 2006, the Company and the note holders of certain of the convertible promissory notes converted notes with an aggregate outstanding balance of \$3,061,700 and related accrued and unpaid interest of \$1,005,300 at September 30, 2006 into 5,993,515 shares of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock. In addition, the exercise price of warrants to purchase 1,062,116 shares of the Company's common stock issued to such note holders was changed to \$0.59 per share. The preferred shares were converted into 5,993,515 shares of the Company's common stock upon the closing of the merger described in Note 2 above.

7. NOTE PAYABLE TO NUPHARM DATABASE, LLC

In connection with the January 2000 Asset Purchase Agreement between the Company and NuPharm Database, LLC (NuPharm) providing for the purchase of a database and the assumption of certain NuPharm liabilities, the Company issued a subordinated note payable to NuPharm in the amount

11

of \$299,900 bearing interest at 8% per year and due on March 15, 2004 and a warrant to purchase 2,800,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.01 per share. The warrant was not exercised before expiring in 2005.

In October 2006, the Company and NuPharm Database, LLC (NuPharm) agreed to exchange the note and the related accrued interest for a 5% note in the principal amount of \$287,400, representing the outstanding principal at September 30, 2006, and warrants to purchase 2,800,000 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.01 per share. The note was due and payable on demand five years from the date of issuance, could be prepaid by the Company at any time without penalties and was convertible into shares of common stock of the Company upon the completion of a financing (as defined) at a price per share of the common stock in such financing. Such warrant was exercised in October 2006. The Company valued the warrant at \$309,500 using the Black-Scholes model and recorded the excess of the value of the warrant over the forgiven accrued interest of \$119,700 as a prepaid asset. The excess is being amortized as interest expense over the expected term of the new note of one year.

Pursuant to the abovementioned terms, the note payable to NuPharm and accrued interest thereon were converted into 244,509 shares of the Company's Common Stock upon the completion of the merger and private placement for approximately \$7.0 million described in Note 2. Upon conversion, the entire balance of the unamortized prepaid interest was charged to interest expense.

8. PRIVATE PLACEMENT-SERIES B PREFERRED STOCK

In October and November, 2006, the Company sold 1,905,978 Units in a private financing resulting in net proceeds of \$1,891,100, net of offering costs of \$33,900. Each Unit consisted of one share of Series B Preferred Stock and 5-year warrants to purchase 0.6 shares of the Company's common stock at \$1.51 per share. Holders of the Series B Preferred Stock were entitled to receive non-cumulative dividends at an annual rate of 4% when, as and if declared by the Board. Each share of the Series B Preferred Stock was initially convertable into one share of the Company's Common Stock at any time at the option of the holder and converted automatically into Common Stock at the then applicable conversion rate in the event of (i) the sale of \$5,000,000 or more of Common Stock or units consisting of Common Stock and warrants in one or more related transactions;

(ii) the closing of an underwritten public offering with a price equal or greater than \$1.21 per share and net proceeds to the Company of not less than \$5,000,000, or (iii) upon the written consent of the holders of the majority of the Series A Preferred (see below) in the case of conversion of the Series A Preferred or the Series B Preferred in the case of conversion of the Series B Preferred.

Pursuant to the abovementioned terms, the preferred shares were converted into 1,905,978 shares of the Company's Common Stock upon the completion of the merger and private placement for approximately \$7.0 million described in Note 2.

9. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

COMMON AND PREFERRED STOCK

As of March 31, 2007, CNS California was authorized to issue 100,000,000 shares of two classes of stock, 80,000,000 of which was designated as common shares and 20,000,000 of which was designated as preferred shares.

12

There were no shares of Preferred Stock outstanding as of September 30, 2006. In October 2006, CNS California issued 5,993,515 shares of its preferred stock in connection with the conversion of notes payable described in Note 5. All of these shares of preferred stock were converted into 5,993,515 shares of the Company's common stock concurrently with the completion of the Merger described in Note 2.

In October and November 2006, CNS California issued 1,905,978 shares of its preferred stock in connection with the private placement described in Note 8. All of these shares of preferred stock were converted into 1,905,978 shares of the Company's common stock concurrently with the completion of the Merger described in Note 2.

STOCK OPTION PLAN

On September 27, 2004, the Company adopted the 2004 Stock Option Plan pursuant to which there were 15,000,000 shares of common stock reserved for issuance and under which the Company may issue incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock awards and stock bonuses to officers, directors and employees. The option price for each share of stock subject to an option was to be (i) no less than the fair market value of a share of stock on the date the option is granted, if the option is an ISO, or (ii) no less than 85% of the fair market value of the stock on the date the option is granted, if the option is a NSO; provided, however, if the option was an ISO granted to an eligible employee who is a 10% shareholder, the option price for each share of stock subject to such ISO was to be no less than 110% of the fair market value of a share of stock on the date such ISO is granted. Stock options were to have a maximum term of ten years from the date of grant, except for ISOs granted to an eligible $\mbox{employee}$ who is a 10% $\mbox{shareholder}$, \mbox{in} which case the $\mbox{maximum}$ term was to be five years from the date of grant. ISOs could be granted only to eligible employees. At March 31, 2007, there were no options outstanding under this plan.

In connection with the Merger described in Note 2, the Company assumed the CNS California stock option plan described below and all of the options granted thereunder at the same price and terms.

On August 3, 2006, CNS California adopted the CNS California 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2006 Plan"). The 2006 Plan provides for the issuance of awards in the form of restricted shares, stock options (which may constitute incentive stock options (ISO) or nonstatutory stock options (NSO)), stock appreciation rights and stock unit grants to eligible employees, directors and consultants and is administered by the board of directors. A total of 10 million shares of stock are reserved for issuance under the 2006 Plan. As of March 31, 2007, there were 4,136,103 million options and 183,937 restricted shares outstanding under the 2006 Plan and 5,815,660 shares available for issuance of awards.

The 2006 Plan provides that in any calendar year, no eligible employee or director shall be granted an award to purchase more than 3 million shares of stock. The option price for each share of stock subject to an option shall be (i) no less than the fair market value of a share of stock on the date the option is granted, if the option is an ISO, or (ii) no less than 85% of the fair market value of the stock on the date the option is granted, if the option is a NSO; provided, however, if the option is an ISO granted to an eligible employee who is a 10% shareholder, the option price for each share of stock subject to such ISO shall be no less than 110% of the fair market value of a share of stock on the date such ISO is granted. Stock options have a maximum term of ten years

from the date of grant, except for ISOs granted to an eligible employee who is a 10% shareholder, in which case the maximum term is five years from the date of grant. ISOs may be granted only to eligible employees.

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 123R (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment", and related interpretations. Under SFAS No. 123R, share-based compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the calculated fair value of the award. The Company estimates the fair value of each option on

13

the grant date using the Black-Scholes $\mbox{model.}$ The following $\mbox{assumptions}$ were \mbox{made} in estimating the fair value:

Dividend yield 0%
Risk-free interest rate 5.46%
Expected volatility 100%
Expected life 5 years

The expense is recognized over the employees' requisite service period, generally the vesting period of the award. Compensation costs charged to operations for the three and six month ended March 31, 2007 amounted to \$3,100 and \$4,200, respectively. Total unrecognized compensation as of March 31, 2007 amounted to \$18,300. There were no options issued or outstanding during the six months ended March 31, 2006.

Option activity is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Ave Exe	ighted erage ercise rice
Activity for the year ended September 30, 2006			
Options outstanding - September 30, 2005 Granted Exercised Forfeited	4,000,403		 0.13
Options outstanding - September 30, 2006	4,000,403	\$	1.25
Activity for the six months ended March 31, 2007 Granted Exercised Forfeited Outstanding at end of year	137,500 4,136,103		0.30 0.14
Weighted average fair value of options granted during: Year ended September 30, 2006		\$ \$	0.09 0.27

Following is a summary of the status of options outstanding at March 31, 2007:

Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Average Contractual Life
\$0.12	859 , 270	10 years
\$0.132	3,112,545	10 years
\$0.30	135,700	10 years
\$0.59	28,588	10 years

At March 31, 2007, options to purchase 4,043,603 shares are fully vested; options to purchase 10,000 shares at an exercise price of \$0.12 vest over 6 months; and options to purchase 82,500 shares at an exercise price of \$0.30 vest semi-annually over 2 years beginning May 15, 2006.

14

WARRANTS TO PURCHASE COMMON STOCK

All warrants described below were issued by CNS California and assumed by the Company under the terms of the Merger agreement described in Note 2.

At September 30, 2006, there were warrants outstanding to purchase 3,115,154 shares of the Company's common stock at exercise prices ranging from \$0.01 to \$0.59 with a weighted average exercise price of \$0.28. The warrants

These warrants were initially recorded as a liability at their fair value. Fair value was computed using the Black-Scholes pricing model at each reporting period with the change in fair value recorded as a gain or loss on derivative instruments. For the year ended September 30, 2006, the Company recorded a gain on derivative instruments amounting to \$1,178,500. For the year ended September 30, 2005, the Company recorded a loss on derivative instruments of \$212,500. As of September 30, 2006, the warrants were reclassified to equity since the number of authorized shares was increased to accommodate the exercise of all warrants and settlement of warrants was within the control of the Company.

During the six months ended March 31, 2007, the following additional 3,515,772 warrants were granted and are outstanding as of such date (unaudited):

Warrants to Purchase	Exercise Price	Issued in Connection With:
1,143,587 shares	\$1.51	Private placement described in Note 8
7,921 shares	\$1.01	To placement agent for private placement described in Note 8
4,752 shares	\$1.812	To placement agent for private placement described in Note 8
1,752,113 shares	\$1.80	Private placement completed immediately after the merger and described in Note 2
467,230 shares	\$1.44	To placement agent for private placement completed immediately after the merger and described in Note 2
140,169 shares	\$1.80	To placement agent for private placement completed immediately after the merger and described in Note 2

As described in Note 2, the warrants to purchase 1,892,282 shares of common stock at \$1.80 per share and the warrants to purchase 467,230 shares at \$1.44 per share were initially recorded as a liability at their fair value. Fair value was computed using the Black-Scholes pricing model. No gain or loss was reported for the six months ended March 31, 2007 as there was no change in fair value recorded.

10. NET LOSS PER SHARE

In accordance with SFAS 128, "Computation of Earnings Per Share," basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) to common stockholders for the period by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and dilutive common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. For the three months and six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company has excluded all common equivalent shares from the calculation of diluted net loss per share as such securities are anti-dilutive.

15

Anti-dilutive common equivalent shares not included in the computation of dilutive net loss per share are as follows:

	Three-Months ended March 31,		
	2007	2006	
Convertible debt		29,583,285	
Preferred stock			
Warrants	4,886,939	2,771,060	
Options	4,136,103		
	Six-Months en	nded March 31,	
	2007	2006	
Convertible debt		29,583,285	
Preferred stock			
Warrants	4,584,277	2,771,060	
Options	4,136,103		

11. SUBSECUENT EVENTS

In May 2007, the Company intends to effect a second closing of the Private Placement discussed in Note 2 in which the Company expects to receive gross proceeds of approximately \$725,000. Thereafter, the Private Placement discussed in Note 2 will be closed.

16

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATION

The information contained in this Form 10-QSB is intended to update the information contained in our Current Report on Form 8-K which includes our financial statements for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2006 and 2005 and unaudited financial statements for the three-month periods ended December 31, 2006, and 2005, and presumes that readers have access to, and will have read, the "Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operation" and other information contained in such Form 8-K. The following discussion and analysis also should be read together with our condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements included elsewhere in this Form 10-QSB.

THIS DISCUSSION SUMMARIZES THE SIGNIFICANT FACTORS AFFECTING THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED OPERATING RESULTS, FINANCIAL CONDITION AND LIQUIDITY AND CASH FLOWS OF CNS RESPONSE, INC. FOR THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 AND THE THREE AND SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006 AND CONTAINS "FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS" WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995. THESE STATEMENTS ARE SUBJECT TO RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES AND ARE BASED ON THE BELIEFS AND ASSUMPTIONS OF OUR MANAGEMENT AS OF THE DATE HEREOF BASED ON INFORMATION CURRENTLY AVAILABLE TO OUR MANAGEMENT. USE OF WORDS SUCH AS "BELIEVES," "EXPECTS," "ANTICIPATES," "INTENDS," "PLANS," "ESTIMATES," "SHOULD," "FORECASTS," "GOAL," "LIKELY" OR SIMILAR EXPRESSIONS, INDICATE A FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENT. FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS ARE NOT GUARANTEES OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE AND INVOLVE RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND ASSUMPTIONS. ACTUAL RESULTS MAY DIFFER MATERIALLY FROM THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS WE MAKE. SEE "RISK FACTORS" ELSEWHERE IN THIS QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-QSB FOR A DISCUSSION OF CERTAIN RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH OUR BUSINESS. WE DISCLAIM ANY OBLIGATION TO UPDATE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS FOR ANY REASON.

BUSINESS OVERVIEW

We are a life sciences company focused on the commercialization of a patented system that guides psychiatrists and other physicians to determine a proper treatment for patients with behavioral (psychiatric, and/or addictive) disorders. We also intend to identify, develop and commercialize new indications of approved drugs and drug candidates for this patient population.

We have developed an extensive proprietary database (the "CNS Database") consisting of approximately 13,000 clinical outcomes across 2,000 patients who had psychiatric or addictive problems. For each patient, we have compiled electrocephalographic ("EEG") scans, symptoms, course of treatment and outcomes often across multiple treatments from multiple psychiatrists and physicians. Using this database, our technology compares a patient's EEG scan to the outcomes in the database and ranks treatment options based on treatment success of patients having similar neurophysiology.

Trademarked as Referenced-EEGSM ("rEEGSM"), this patented technology allows CNS to create and provide simple reports ("rEEG Reports") that specifically guide physicians to treatment strategies based on the patient's own physiology. The vast majority of these patients were considered long-term "treatment-resistant", the most challenging, high-risk and expensive category to treat.

rEEG identifies relevant neurophysiology that is variant from the norm and identifies medications that have successfully treated database patients having similar aberrant physiology. It does this by comparing a patient's standard digital EEG to a normative database. This identifies the presence of any pathophysiology. The rEEG process then compares the stratified set of patients with similar pathophysiology to our CNS Database and reports on relative medication success for this stratified group. Upon completion, the physician is provided the analysis in a report detailing and ranking classes of agents (and specific agents within the class) by treatment success.

17

We believe the key factors that will drive broader adoption of rEEG will be acceptance by healthcare providers of its clinical benefits, demonstration of the cost-effectiveness of using our test, reimbursement by third-party payors, expansion of our sales force and increased marketing efforts.

Since our inception, we have generated significant net losses. As of March 31, 2007, we had an accumulated deficit of \$9.5 million. We incurred operating losses of \$1,070,000 and \$1,470,000 for the three and six months ended March 31, 2007, respectively. We expect our net losses to continue for at least the next several years. We anticipate that a substantial portion of our capital resources and efforts will be focused on research and development, scale up of our commercial organization, and other general corporate purposes. Research and development projects include the completion of clinical trials, the enhancement of the CNS Database and the identification of new medication that are often combinations of approved drugs.

RECENT EVENTS

Prior to January 16, 2007, CNS Response, Inc. (then called Strativation, Inc.) existed as a "shell company" with nominal assets whose sole business was to identify, evaluate and investigate various companies to acquire or with which to merge. On January 16, 2007, we entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement") with CNS Response, Inc., a California corporation ("CNSR California"), and CNS Merger Corporation, a California corporation and our wholly-owned subsidiary ("MergerCo") pursuant to which we agreed to acquire CNSR California in a merger transaction wherein MergerCo would merge with and into CNSR California, with CNSR California being the surviving corporation (the "Merger"). On March 7, 2007, the Merger closed, CNSR California became our wholly-owned subsidiary, and on the same date we changed our corporate name from Strativation, Inc. to CNS Response, Inc.

PRINCIPAL TERMS OF THE MERGER

At the Effective Time of the Merger (as defined in the Merger Agreement, as amended on February 23, 2007), MergerCo was merged with and into CNSR California, the separate existence of MergerCo ceased, and CNSR California continued as the surviving corporation at the subsidiary level. We issued an aggregate of 17,744,625 shares of our common stock to the stockholders of CNSR California in exchange for 100% ownership of CNSR California. Additionally, we assumed an aggregate of 8,407,517 options to purchase shares of common stock and warrants to purchase shares of common stock on the same terms and conditions as previously issued by CNSR California.

Immediately prior to the closing of the Merger, we had outstanding 868,823 shares of common stock. Immediately after the closing of the Merger, and without taking into consideration the Private Placement offering described below, we had 18,613,448 outstanding shares of common stock, and options and warrants to purchase 8,407,517 shares of common stock.

PRIVATE PLACEMENT TRANSACTION

On March 7, 2007, simultaneous with the closing of the Merger, we received gross proceeds of approximately \$7.0 million in a private placement transaction (the "Private Placement") with institutional investors and other high net worth individuals ("Investors"). Pursuant to Subscription Agreements entered into with these Investors, we sold 5,840,374 Investment Units, at \$1.20 per Investment Unit. Each "Investment Unit" consists of one share of our common stock, and a five year non-callable warrant to purchase three-tenths of one share of our common Stock, at an exercise price of \$1.80 per share (the "Investor Warrants"). After commissions and expenses, we received net proceeds of approximately \$6,172,000 in the Private Placement.

18

Brean Murray Carret & Co. ("Brean Murray") acted as placement agent and corporate finance advisor in connection with the Private Placement. For their services as placement agent and financial advisor, pursuant to the terms of an Engagement Agreement between CNSR California and Brean Murray, Brean Murray received a retainer in the form of 74,074 shares of our common stock (having a deemed value of \$100,000) upon the closing of the Private Placement. We also paid Brean Murray a fee equal to 8% of the funds raised in the Private Placement, or approximately \$560,000 of the gross proceeds from the financing. In addition, Brean Murray received warrants (the "Placement Agent Warrants") to purchase shares of our common stock in amounts equal to (i) 8% of the shares of common stock sold by Brean Murray in the Private Placement (467,230 warrants at an exercise price of \$1.44 per share), and (ii) 8% of the shares underlying the Investor Warrants sold by Brean Murray in the Private Placement (140,169 warrants at an exercise price of \$1.80 per share). The Placement Agent Warrants are fully vested and have a term of 5 years. We also paid \$86,000 in costs, fees and expenses incurred by Brean Murray in connection with the Private Placement. We expressly assumed CNSR California's agreement with Brean Murray upon the closing of the Merger. Pursuant to this agreement, Brean Murray has a right of first refusal to represent us in certain corporate finance transactions for a period of one year following the closing of the Private Placement.

Under the terms of the Subscription Agreements between us and the Investors in the Private Placement, we are obligated to file a Registration Statement on Form SB-2 with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") within 45 days following the closing (the "Registration Statement") to permit the resale of the shares of common stock sold in the Private Placement and purchasable under the warrants sold in the Private Placement. We will register the shares on the Registration Statement for resale by those persons who purchased Investment Units in the Private Placement pro rata based on such person's percentage interest in the total number of Investment Units sold in the Private Placement.

The Subscription Agreements also require us to use our reasonable best efforts to obtain the effectiveness of the Registration Statement not later than 150 days after the closing of the Private Placement, subject to certain exceptions. After obtaining the effectiveness of the Registration Statement, we are further obligated to use our reasonable best efforts to maintain the effectiveness of the Registration Statement until all such shares registered thereby may be sold without restriction pursuant to Rule 144(k) promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, except that investors may not be able to sell their shares under the Registration Statement during periods when we may be required to update the information contained in that Registration Statement under applicable securities laws. If we fail to satisfy our obligations for obtaining effectiveness of the Registration Statement within 150 days after the closing of the Private Placement we must pay liquidated cash damages to the investors in the offering in an aggregate amount equal to 1% of the Investment Unit purchase price for each share registered, per month that elapses after such failure until the earlier of (a) the date the Registration Statement is filed or becomes effective, as applicable, or (b) the date that is one year from the closing of the Private Placement.

Under the terms of a Registration Rights Agreement entered into between us and the majority stockholders of our common stock immediately prior to the Merger, we are also obligated to include up to 767,103 shares of our common stock on the Registration Statement described above. The registration rights attaching to the shares held by these stockholders are not transferable with such shares. Our majority stockholders have identical registration rights to those provided to the investors, except they do not have the right to liquidated damages as provided in the Subscription Agreements.

In addition to the registration rights described above, the holders of the shares (i) sold in the Private Placement, (ii) issuable upon exercise of the Investor Warrants, (iii) held by the our majority

1 9

stockholders prior to the Merger, (iv) issuable upon exercise of the Placement Agent Warrants or otherwise under the Engagement Agreement with the Placement Agent, and (v) issued upon conversion of CNSR California Series A Preferred Stock, CNSR California Series B Preferred Stock and certain shares of CNSR California Common Stock under the terms of the Merger Agreement, each have piggy-back registration rights with respect to such shares effective September 7, 2007, and demand registration rights with respect to such shares effective March 7, 2008.

After the completion of the Private Placement and the Merger, we had an aggregate of 24,692,190 shares of common stock outstanding, with the former CNSR California shareholders and the investors in the Private Placement owning in the aggregate 23,584,999 shares of our common stock, which represented approximately 96.5% of our issued and then outstanding shares of common stock. Our stockholders immediately prior to the Merger and Private Placement owned approximately 3.5% of our outstanding common stock (or, 868,823 shares of our common stock) immediately after completion of these transactions.

FINANCIAL OPERATIONS OVERVIEW

REVENUES

We derive our revenues from the sale of rEEG Reports to physicians. Physicians are generally billed upon delivery of the rEEG Report. The list prices of our rEEG Reports range from \$200\$ to \$800, with \$400\$ being the most frequent charge.

COST OF REVENUES

Cost of revenues represents the cost of direct labor, and costs associated with external processing, analysis and consulting review necessary to render an individualized test result. Costs associated with performing our test are recorded as tests are processed. We are currently evaluating the feasibility of hiring our own personnel to perform most of the processing and analysis necessary to render an rEEG Report.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Research and development expenses primarily represent costs incurred to design and conduct clinical studies, improve rEEG processing, add data to the CNS Database, improve analytical techniques and advance application of the methodology to additional clinical diagnosis. We charge all research and development expenses to operations as they are incurred.

SALES AND MARKETING

Our selling and marketing expenses consist primarily of personnel costs and the costs of educating physicians, laboratory personnel and other healthcare professionals regarding our product.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE

Our general and administrative expenses consist primarily of personnel related costs, legal costs, accounting costs and other professional and administrative costs.

20

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES, JUDGMENTS AND ESTIMATES

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and expenses and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. We evaluate our estimates and judgments on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other factors we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could therefore differ materially from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

The following represents a summary of our critical accounting policies, defined as those policies that we believe are the most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and that require management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effects of matters that are inherently uncertain.

REVENUE RECOGNITION- We have generated limited revenues since our inception. Revenues for our product are recognized when an rEEG Report is delivered to the physician.

STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE- Stock-based compensation expense, which is a non-cash charge, results from stock option grants. Pursuant to SFAS No. 123(R), compensation cost is measured at the grant date based on the calculated fair value of the award. We recognize stock-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period of the underlying option. The amount of stock-based compensation expense expected to be amortized in future periods may decrease if unvested options are subsequently cancelled or may increase if future option grants are made.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

See Note 3 to Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements including the respective expected dates of adoption and effects on results of operations and financial condition.

21

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

COMPARISON OF THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 AND THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006

The following table presents consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated as a percentage of revenues.

THREE MONTHS						
EN	DED	MARCH	31,			
2007			2006			
		-				
100	용		100	용		
45			86			

Gross profit	55	14
Research and development	410	256
Sales and marketing	33	66
General and administrative expenses	1,030	277
Operating loss	(1,417)	(584)
Other expense, net	200	134
Net loss	(1,618)%	(717)%
	======	

REVENUES

	THREE	E MONTHS	THREE	MONTHS			
	E	ENDED	E	NDED	PERCENT		
	MARCH	31, 2007	MARCH	31, 2006	CHANGE		
Revenues	Ś	66.100	Ś	49.300	34%		

The increase in revenues resulted from the adoption of rEEG by an additional seven physicians. The number of rEEG Reports delivered for the period increased from 123 in 2006 to 165 in 2007 while the price per report remained constant at approximately \$400. To drive broader adoption of reports based on our rEEG technology, we have undertaken a multi-site clinical study to validate the efficacy of our product, and we will continue to increase our marketing efforts and expand our sales force. We do not intend to expand our sales and marketing efforts until the completion of a clinical study currently being conducted by respected professionals in the field of psychiatry. Accordingly, we anticipate that revenues will not increase materially until fiscal 2008.

COST OF REVENUES

	THRI	EE MONTHS	THR	EE MONTHS	
		ENDED		ENDED	PERCENT
	MARCI	H 31, 2007	MARC	н 31, 2006	CHANGE
Cost of revenues	\$	29,600	\$	42,300	(30%)

Cost of revenues consists of payroll costs, consulting costs, charges relating to the amortization of the CNS Database, and other miscellaneous charges. Costs of revenues declined for the three month period ended March 31, 2007, since the CNS Database was fully amortized in the quarter ended December 31, 2006. The decrease in cost of revenues for the three month period ended March 31, 2007 was offset by an increase in payroll costs, as well as an increase in consulting costs. Consulting costs

22

represent external costs associated with the processing and analysis of rEEG's and range between \$75 and \$80 per rEEG Report. We expect costs of revenues will increase as an absolute number as we deliver more rEEG Reports. However, we expect cost of revenues to decrease as a percentage of revenues as we improve our operating efficiency.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007			REE MONTHS ENDED CH 31, 2006	PERCENT CHANGE	
Research and development	Ś	271,100	Ś	126,000	11.5%	

Research and development expenses consist of clinical studies, costs to identify indications of approved drugs and drug candidates, projects for training doctors in the use of rEEG, consulting fees, payroll costs, expenses related to database enhancements, and other miscellaneous costs. Research and development costs increased for the three month period ended March 31, 2007 from the three month period ended March 31, 2006 as a result of increases in expenses relating to clinical studies, projects for training doctors in the use of rEEG, and payroll costs, offset by lower consulting fees. The increase in expenses relating to clinical studies is attributable to our expansion and acceleration of the completion of a clinical study with the goal of driving market acceptance of our rEEG technology. Training costs increased as the Company undertook projects to improve its training capabilities. Consulting fees decreased as the Company reduced the work outsourced to consultants. Payroll increased as we hired an additional employee in research and development and increased the salary of an existing employee. We expect research and development expenses to continue to increase as we attempt to accelerate the identification of approved drugs and drug candidates, complete studies to validate the efficacy of our product, acquire new data for our database, enhance our system and hire additional employees.

SALES AND MARKETING

THREE MONTHS THREE MONTHS
ENDED ENDED PERCENT
MARCH 31, 2007 MARCH 31, 2006 CHANGE

Sales and marketing \$ 21,500 \$ 32,300 (33%)

For the quarter ended March 31, 2007, sales and marketing expenses were \$21,500 consisting of payroll costs of \$19,300 and other marketing costs of \$2,200. For the quarter ended March 31, 2006 sales and marketing expenses were \$32,300 consisting of payroll costs. The decrease in payroll costs is attributable to the termination of a salesperson in April 2006. We expect sales and marketing expenses to increase substantially as we increase our marketing efforts and expand our sales force.

2.3

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

	E	MONTHS NDED 31, 2007	I	E MONTHS ENDED 31, 2006	PERCENT CHANGE	
General and administrative expenses	\$	680,500	\$	136,400	3	399%

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 primarily related to salaries and professional fees. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2007 primarily related to a \$475,000 advisory fee paid to Richardson & Pattel, LLP in connection with our merger transaction, and will not recur. General and administrative costs were also higher for the three month period ended March 31, 2007 as we hired our Chief Financial Officer as well as an office manager. Legal and accounting costs also increased as the result of costs incurred in connection with the merger and as a result of costs associated with being a public reporting company. Rent increased as the company moved to larger facilities in October 2006. Insurance expenses also increased as a result of higher premiums on our insurance policy for directors and officers. The increase in general and administrative expenses was offset by a decrease in consulting fees since we outsourced fewer tasks in the period. We expect general and administrative costs to continue to increase as we expand our staff and incur costs associated with being a public reporting company, including costs associated with filing our Registration Statement on Form SB-2, which we expect to occur in the third quarter.

INTEREST EXPENSE

			THRE	EE MONTHS	THR	EE MONTHS		
				ENDED		ENDED	PERCENT	
			MARCE	1 31, 2007	MARCI	H 31, 2006	CHANGE	
Interest	(Income)	Expense	 \$	142,200	\$	65,800		116%

For the quarter ended March 31, 2007, interest expense was \$142,200 and consisted of \$142,400 relating to interest expense from the ascribed value of a warrant issued to NuPharm Database, LLC, and interest expense from promissory notes and other interest bearing accounts of \$6,200 offset by interest income of \$6,400. For the quarter ended March 31, 2006, interest expense was \$65,800 and consisted of interest expense form promissory notes and other interest bearing accounts of \$65,900 offset by interest income of \$100. Interest expense relating to the warrant will not recur as the entire balance of unamortized prepaid interest was expensed in connection with our merger. We expect interest expense relating to convertible debt and other interest bearing accounts to continue to decrease as substantially all convertible debt and other interest bearing accounts have either been repaid or converted into our stock. We expect interest income to increase due to funds available from the private placement.

24

OTHER INCOME

THREE MONTHS THREE MONTHS
ENDED ENDED PERCENT
MARCH 31, 2007 MARCH 31, 2006 CHANGE

Other income for the quarter ended March 31, 2007 was \$9,900 and consisted of gains from settlement of payables. Other income for the quarter ended March 31, 2006 was zero. The increase in other income is attributable to the settlement of accounts payable at a discount to the recorded amounts.

NET LOSS

	TH	IREE MONTHS ENDED	THREE MONTHS ENDED		PERCENT
	MAF	RCH 31, 2007	MAR	CH 31, 2006	CHANGE
Net Loss	\$	(1,069,700)	\$	(353,500)	203%

Our increase in net loss is due primarily to the advisory fee of \$475,000 paid to Richardson & Patel, LLP in connection with our merger transaction, increases in our research and development costs, increase in other general and administrative expenses, as well as an increase in our interest expenses. Our net loss as a percentage of net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2007 increased to 1618% as compared to 717% of net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2006. We expect to incur net losses for the next few years as we continue to improve our rEEG technology and reaffirm its validity through clinical studies, attempt to accelerate the identification of approved drugs and drug candidates, increase the penetration of our products in the marketplace, and hire additional personnel.

COMPARISON OF SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 AND SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006

The following table presents consolidated statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated as a percentage of revenues.

SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006 2007 100% 100% Revenues Cost of revenues 68 88 --------32 12 Gross profit 400 Research and development 238 Sales and marketing 42 83 General and administrative expenses 776 310 (1,286)Operating loss (719)Other expense, net 1,187 619 _____ ____ Net loss (1,304)% (809)%

2.5

REVENUES

	SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2007		SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006		PERCENT CHANGE	
Revenues	\$	112,700	\$	85,800	31%	

The increase in revenues resulted from the adoption of rEEG by an additional eleven physicians. The number of rEEG Reports delivered during the sixth month period ended March 31, 2007 increased from 215 in 2006 to 300 in 2007 while the average price per report dropped from \$400 to \$375. We do not intend to expand our sales and marketing efforts until the completion of a clinical study currently being conducted by respected professionals in the field of psychiatry. Accordingly, we anticipate that revenues will not increase materially until fiscal 2008.

COST OF REVENUES

SIX I	MONTHS	SIX MONTHS				
ENDED			ENDED	PERCENT		
MARCH :	31, 2007	MARCH	31, 2006	CHANGE		
\$	76 600	¢	75 700	1 %		
	MARCH	ENDED MARCH 31, 2007	ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 MARCH	ENDED ENDED MARCH 31, 2007 MARCH 31, 2006	ENDED PERCENT MARCH 31, 2007 MARCH 31, 2006 CHANGE	

Cost of revenues consists of payroll costs, consulting costs, charges relating to the amortization of the CNS Database, and other miscellaneous charges. Cost of revenues remained flat for the six month period ended March 31, 2007 as compared to the six month period ended March 31, 2006, with increases in payroll costs and consulting costs being offset by a decrease in amortization costs associated with the CNS Database. We expect costs of revenues will increase as an absolute number as we deliver more rEEG Reports. However, we expect cost of revenues to decrease as a percentage of revenues as we improve our operating efficiency.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

SIX MONTHS	SIX MONTHS	
ENDED	ENDED	PERCENT
MARCH 31, 2007	MARCH 31, 2006	CHANGE

Research and development ... \$ 451,200 \$ 204,200 121%

Research and development expenses consist of clinical studies, costs to identify indications of approved drugs and drug candidates, projects for training doctors in the use of rEEG, consulting fees, payroll costs, expenses related to database enhancements, and other miscellaneous costs. Research and development costs increased for the six month period ended March 31, 2007 from the six month period ended March 31, 2006 primarily as a result of increases in expenses associated with clinical studies, costs incurred to identify indications of approved drugs and drug candidates, expenses in relation to projects for training doctors in the use of rEEG technology, and payroll costs. The increase in expenses relating to clinical studies is attributable to our expansion and acceleration of the completion of a clinical study with the goal of driving market acceptance of our rEEG technology. Training costs increased as the Company undertook projects to improve its training capabilities. Payroll costs increased as we hired an additional

26

employee in research and development and increased the salary of an existing employee. We expect research and development expenses to continue to increase as we attempt to accelerate the identification of approved drugs and drug candidates, complete studies to validate the efficacy of our product, acquire new data for our database, enhance our system and hire additional employees.

SALES AND MARKETING

	SIX	K MONTHS	SIX MONTHS				
	MADGI	ENDED	MADO	ENDED	PERCENT		
	MARCI	1 31, 2007	MARC	н 31, 2006	CHANGE		
Sales and marketing	\$	47,500	\$	71,300	(33%)		

For the six months ended March 31, 2007, sales and marketing expenses were \$47,500 consisting of payroll costs of \$37,700 and other marketing costs of \$9,800. For the six months ended March 31, 2006 sales and marketing expenses were \$71,300 consisting solely of payroll costs. The decrease in payroll costs is attributable to the termination of a salesperson in April 2006. We expect sales and marketing expenses to increase substantially as we increase our marketing efforts and expand our sales force.

GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES

	MONTHS ENDED H 31, 2007	SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 200		PERCENT CHANGE
General and administrative				
expenses	\$ 874,700	\$	265,900	229%

General and administrative expenses for the six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006 primarily related to salaries and professional fees. As a percentage of net sales, general and administrative expenses increased from 310% for the six months ended March 31, 2006 to 776% for the six months ended March 31, 2007. The increase in general and administrative expenses for the six month period ended March 31, 2007 primarily related to a \$475,000 advisory fee paid to Richardson & Pattel, LLP in connection with our merger transaction, and will not recur. General and administrative costs were also higher for the six month period ended March 31, 2007 as we hired our Chief Financial Officer as well as an office manager. Legal and accounting costs also increased as the result of costs incurred in connection with the merger and as a result of costs associated with being a public reporting company. Rent increased as the company moved to larger facilities in October 2006. Insurance expenses also increased as a result of higher premiums on our insurance policy for directors and officers, as did

miscellaneous expenses. The increase in general and administrative expenses was offset by a decrease in consulting fees since we outsourced fewer tasks in the period. We expect general and administrative costs to continue to increase as we expand our staff and incur costs associated with being a public reporting company, including costs associated with filing our Registration Statement on Form SB-2, which we expect to occur in the third quarter.

27

CTV MONIBUC

INTEREST EXPENSE

	SIX MONTHS	SIX MONTHS	
	ENDED	ENDED	PERCENT
	MARCH 31, 2007	MARCH 31, 2006	CHANGE
Interest (Income) Expense	\$ 193,200	\$ 163,000	19%

CTV MONIBUG

For the six months ended March 31, 2007, interest expense was \$193,200 and consisted of \$189,800 relating to interest expense from the ascribed value of a warrant issued to NuPharm Database, LLC, interest expense from promissory notes and other interest bearing accounts of \$13,300 offset by interest income of \$9,900. For the six months ended March 31, 2006 interest expense was \$163,000 and consisted of interest expense form promissory notes and other interest bearing accounts of \$163,200 offset by interest income of \$200. Interest expense relating to the warrant will not recur as the entire balance of unamortized prepaid interest was expensed in connection with our merger. We expect interest expense relating to convertible debt and other interest bearing accounts to continue to decrease as substantially all convertible debt and other interest bearing accounts have either been repaid or converted into the Company's stock. We expect interest income to increase due to funds available from the private placement.

OTHER INCOME

	K MONTHS ENDED H 31, 2007	SIX MO END MARCH 31	ED	PERCENT CHANGE
Other Income * Not meaningful	\$ 61,700	\$	0	*

Other income for the six months ended March 31, 2007 was \$61,700 and consisted of gains from settlement of payables. Other income for the six months ended March 31, 2006 was zero. The increase in other income is attributable to the settlement of accounts payable at a discount to the recorded amounts.

NET LOSS

	EIX MONTHS ENDED CCH 31, 2007	SIX MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2006		PERCENT CHANGE	
Net Loss	\$ (1,469,600)	\$	(694,300)	112%	

Our increase in net loss is due primarily to the advisory fee of \$475,000 paid to Richardson & Pattel, LLP in connection with our merger transaction, increases in our research and development costs, increases in other general and administrative expenses, as well as our interest expenses. Our net loss as a percentage of net sales for the six months ended March 31, 2007 increased to 1304% as compared to 809% of net sales for the six months ended March 31, 2006. We expect to incur net losses for the next few years as we continue to improve our rEEG technology and reaffirm its validity through clinical studies, attempt to accelerate the identification of approved drugs and drug candidates, increase the penetration of our products in the marketplace, and hire additional personnel.

28

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of March 31, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$6.4 million and a working capital balance of approximately \$3.7 million. As of March 31, 2006, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$205,000 and a working capital deficit of approximately \$5.4 million. Our positive cash balance results primarily from financing activities. Through March 31, 2007, we have received proceeds of \$3,116,000 from the issuance of convertible promissory notes, \$220,400 from the issuance of common stock to employees in connection with expenses paid by such employees on behalf of the Company, \$1.9 million from

the sale of preferred stock, and \$6.1 million from the sale of common stock to institutional investors and other high net worth individuals.

We expect to continue to incur substantial operating losses in the future and to make capital expenditures to keep pace with the expansion of our research and development programs and to scale up our commercial operations. We expect that our existing cash will be used to fund working capital and for capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes. The amount and timing of actual expenditures may vary significantly depending upon a number of factors, such as the progress of our product development, regulatory requirements, commercialization efforts and the amount of cash used by operations.

We believe that our existing cash and cash equivalents will be sufficient to fund our minimum working capital and capital expenditure needs for at least the next twelve months. However, if our working capital requirements or capital expenditures are greater than we expect, or if we expand our business by acquiring complementary businesses or assets, we may need to raise additional debt or equity financing. We are continually evaluating various financing strategies to be used to expand our business and fund future growth. There can be no assurance that additional debt or equity financing will be available on acceptable terms or at all. We currently do not have any material commitments for capital expenditures.

CASH FLOWS

We have satisfied our working capital requirements primarily through the sale of equity securities and through debt financings. For the six months ended March 31, 2007, we had a net increase in cash of approximately \$6,178,000. Cash flows from operating, financing and investing activities for the six months ended March 31, 2007 and the six months ended March 31, 2006 are summarized in the following table:

SIX	MONTHS	3	
ENDED	MARCH	31.	

ACTIVITY:	2007	2006		
Operating activities	\$(1,634,000)	\$ (243,000)		
Investing activities	(7,000)			
Financing activities	7,819,000			
Net (decrease) increase in cash	\$ 6,178,000	\$ (243,000)		
	========	========		

OPERATING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in operating activities was approximately \$1,634,000 and \$243,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in cash used of \$1.4 million was primarily due to increases in research and development expenses and general and administrative expenses explained above and the repayment of liabilities as a result of the availability of cash.

29

INVESTING ACTIVITIES

Net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$7,000 for the six months ended March 31, 2007 compared to zero for the comparable period in 2006. Our investing activities for the six month period ended March 31, 2007 consisted of lease deposits and loans made to employees. We expect amounts used in investing activities to increase as we purchase property and equipment.

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$7,819,000 million for the six months ended March 31, 2007 compared to zero for the comparable period in 2006. Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended March 31, 2007 primarily reflects gross proceeds of approximately \$7,008,450 received in a private placement transaction with institutional investors and other high net worth individuals. In the private placement, we sold 5,840,374 Investment Units, at \$1.20 per Investment Unit, to the investors. Each "Investment Unit" consists of one share of our common stock, and a five year non-callable warrant to purchase three-tenths of one share of our common Stock, at an exercise price of \$1.80 per share. After commissions and expenses, we received net proceeds of approximately \$6,172,000 in the private placement.

INCOME TAXES

Since inception, we have incurred operating losses and, accordingly, have not recorded a provision for federal income taxes for any periods

presented. As of September 30, 2006, we had net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes of \$4,627,600. If not utilized, the federal net operating loss carryforwards will expire beginning in 2021. Utilization of net operating loss and credit carryforwards may be subject to a substantial annual limitation due to restrictions contained in the Internal Revenue Code that are applicable if we experience an "ownership change". The annual limitation may result in the expiration of our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards before they can be used.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

As of March 31, 2007, we had no significant contractual obligations. In addition, at March 31, 2007, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes. As such, we are not exposed to any financing, liquidity, market or credit risk that could arise if we had engaged in such relationships.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENTS AND RISK FACTORS

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should carefully consider the following risk factors and all other information contained in this report before purchasing our common stock. The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties that we are unaware of, or that we currently deem immaterial, also may become important factors that affect us. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose some or all of the money you paid to purchase our common stock.

30

RISKS RELATED TO OUR COMPANY

WE HAVE A LIMITED OPERATING HISTORY, MAKING IT DIFFICULT TO EVALUATE OUR FUTURE PERFORMANCE.

We were incorporated in 2000 and therefore have a limited operating history. Investors have limited substantive financial information on prior operations to evaluate the company as an investment. Our potential must be viewed in light of the problems, expenses, difficulties, delays and complications often encountered in the operation of a new business. We will be subject to the risks inherent in the ownership and operation of a company with a limited operating history such as fluctuations in expenses, competition, the general strength of regional and national economies, and governmental regulation. Any failure to successfully address these risks and uncertainties would seriously harm our business and prospects.

WE CURRENTLY DEPEND ON SALES OF OUR REEG REPORTS FOR SUBSTANTIALLY ALL OF OUR REVENUE, AND IF OUR REPORTS DO NOT GAIN WIDESPREAD MARKET ACCEPTANCE, THEN OUR REVENUES MAY NOT EXCEED OUR EXPENSES.

We have developed a methodology that aids psychiatrists and other physicians in selecting appropriate and effective medications for patients with certain behavioral or addictive disorders based on physiological traits of the patient's brain and information contained in a proprietary database that has been developed over the last twenty years. We began selling reports, referred to as rEEG Reports, based on our methodology in 2000. To date, we have not received widespread market acceptance of the usefulness of our rEEG Reports in helping psychiatrists and physicians inform their treatment strategies for patients suffering from behavioral and/or addictive disorders. Because we currently depend on the sale of rEEG Reports for substantially all or our revenue, and we have no other significant products or services, if we fail to achieve widespread market acceptance for our rEEG Reports, we will not be able to sustain or grow our revenues.

OUR OPERATING RESULTS MAY FLUCTUATE SIGNIFICANTLY AND OUR STOCK PRICE COULD DECLINE OR FLUCTUATE IF OUR RESULTS DO NOT MEET THE EXPECTATION OF ANALYSTS OR INVESTORS.

Management expects that we will experience substantial variations in our operating results from quarter to quarter. We believe that the factors which influence this variability of quarterly results include:

- the use of and demand for rEEG Reports and other products and/or services that we may offer in the future that are based on our patented methodology.
- o the effectiveness of new marketing and sales programs.

- o turnover in our direct sales force.
- o changes in management.
- o the introduction of products or services that are viewed in the marketplace as substitutes for the services we provide.
- o communications published by industry organizations or other professional entities in the psychiatric and physician community that are unfavorable to our business.
- o the introduction of regulations which impose additional costs on or impede our business.

31

o the timing and amount of our expenses, particularly expenses associated with the marketing and promotion of our services, the training of physicians and psychiatrists in the use of our rEEG Reports, and research and development.

As a result of fluctuations in our revenue and operating expenses that may occur, management believes that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not a good indication of our future performance. It is possible that in some future quarter or quarters, our operating results will be below the expectations of securities analysts or investors. In that case, our common stock price could fluctuate significantly or decline.

IF THE ESTIMATES WE MAKE, AND THE ASSUMPTIONS ON WHICH WE RELY IN PREPARING OUR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PROVE INACCURATE, OUR ACTUAL RESULTS MAY VARY FROM THOSE REFLECTED IN OUR FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

Our financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of our assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, the amounts of charges accrued by us and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. This includes estimates and judgments regarding revenue recognition, allowances for doubtful accounts, valuation of derivatives, warrants and other equity transactions. We base our estimates and judgments on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances at the time such estimates and judgments were made. There can be no assurance, however, that our estimates and judgments, or the assumptions underlying them, will be correct.

WE MAY NEED ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO SUPPORT OUR OPERATIONS AND CAPITAL EXPENDITURES, WHICH MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE TO US AND WHICH LACK OF AVAILABILITY COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

We have not generated significant revenues or become profitable, may never do so, and may not generate sufficient working capital to cover costs of operations. We intend to fund our operations and capital expenditures from revenues, our cash on hand and the net proceeds of our private placement that we concluded in March of 2007. As a result of our private placement, we believe that we will have sufficient funds to finance the cost of our operations, our operating and management infrastructure, and planned expansion for the next 12 months. However, in the event we expand our operations more aggressively then we currently anticipate, we may need to raise additional cash through private equity offerings, debt financings, borrowings or strategic collaborations until we can generate a sufficient amount of product revenues to finance our cash requirements. In addition, we may need to raise additional funds to pursue business opportunities (such as acquisitions of complementary businesses), to react to unforeseen difficulties, such as the need to defend or enforce our intellectual property rights, to respond to competitive pressures, or to obtain regulatory approvals needed to market our services and/or products.

We currently have no committed sources of additional capital, and there can be no assurance that any financing arrangements will be available in amounts or on terms acceptable to us, if at all. Furthermore, the sale of additional equity or convertible debt securities may result in additional dilution to existing stockholders. If adequate additional funds are not available, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate material parts of the implementation of our business strategy. This limitation could substantially harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

OUR INDUSTRY IS HIGHLY COMPETITIVE, AND WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO COMPETE SUCCESSFULLY, WHICH COULD RESULT IN PRICE REDUCTIONS AND DECREASED DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS.

The healthcare business in general, and the behavioral health treatment business in particular, are highly competitive. In the event that we are unable to convince physicians, psychiatrists and patients of the efficacy of our products and services, individuals seeking treatment for behavioral health disorders may seek alternative treatment methods, which could negatively impact our sales and profitability.

OUR REEG REPORTS MAY NOT BE AS EFFECTIVE AS WE BELIEVE THEM TO BE, WHICH COULD LIMIT OR PREVENT US FROM GROWING OUR REVENUES.

Our belief in the efficacy of our rEEG technology is based on a limited number of studies. Such results may not be statistically significant, and may not be indicative of the long-term future efficacy of the information we provide. Controlled scientific studies, including those that have been announced and that are planned for the future, may yield results that are unfavorable or demonstrate that our services, including our rEEG Reports, are not clinically useful. While we have not experienced such problems to date, if the initially indicated results cannot be successfully replicated or maintained over time, utilization of services based on our rEEG technology, including the delivery of our rEEG Reports, could decline substantially and therefore harm our operating results and stock price.

DATA RELATING TO OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES MAY BE INTERPRETED UNFAVORABLY, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR REVENUES AND EARNINGS.

While we have been able to generate initial interest in our rEEG Reports among a limited number of psychiatrists and physicians, there can be no assurance that our efforts or the efforts of others will be successful in increasing the acceptance of our rEEG Reports. Marketplace acceptance of our rEEG reports may largely depend upon healthcare providers' interpretation of our limited data, the results of pending studies, or upon reviews and reports that may be given by independent researchers. In the event that health care providers interpret data relating to our rEEG technology unfavorably, and if our marketing and promotional efforts are not as successful as we expect them to be, our revenues and earnings will be harmed.

IF WE DO NOT MAINTAIN AND EXPAND OUR RELATIONSHIPS IN THE PSYCHIATRIC AND PHYSICIAN COMMUNITY, OUR GROWTH WILL BE LIMITED AND OUR BUSINESS COULD BE HARMED. IF PSYCHIATRISTS AND OTHER PHYSICIANS DO NOT RECOMMEND AND ENDORSE OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES, OUR SALES MAY DECLINE OR WE MAY BE UNABLE TO INCREASE OUR SALES, AND IN SUCH INSTANCES OUR PROFITABILITY WOULD BE HARMED.

Purchases by psychiatrists and physicians of our rEEG Reports currently account for substantially all of our revenue. Consequently, our relationships with psychiatrists and physicians are critical to our continued growth. We believe that these relationships are based on the quality and ease of use of our rEEG Reports, our commitment to the behavioral health market, our marketing efforts, and our presence at tradeshows such as the American Psychiatric Association annual meeting. Any actual or perceived diminution in our reputation or the quality of our rEEG Reports, or our failure or inability to maintain our commitment to the behavioral health market and our other marketing and product promotion efforts could damage our current relationships, or prevent us from forming new relationships, with psychiatrists and other physicians and cause our growth to be limited and our business to be harmed.

To sell our rEEG Reports, psychiatric professionals must recommend and endorse them. We may not obtain the necessary recommendations or endorsements from this community. Acceptance of our rEEG Reports depends on educating psychiatrists and physicians as to the benefits, clinical efficacy, ease of use, revenue opportunity, and cost-effectiveness of our rEEG Reports and on training the medical community to properly understand and utilize our rEEG Reports. If we are not successful in obtaining the recommendations or endorsements of psychiatrists and other physicians for our rEEG Reports, our sales may decline or we may be unable to increase our sales and profitability.

33

NEGATIVE PUBLICITY OR UNFAVORABLE MEDIA COVERAGE COULD DAMAGE OUR REPUTATION AND HARM OUR OPERATIONS.

In the event that the marketplace perceives our rEEG Reports as not offering the benefits which we believe they offer, we may receive significant negative publicity. This publicity may result in litigation and increased regulation and governmental review. If we were to receive such negative publicity or unfavorable media attention, whether warranted or unwarranted, our ability to market our rEEG Reports would be adversely affected, pharmaceutical companies may be reluctant to pursue strategic initiatives with us relating to the development of new products and services based on our rEEG technology, we may be required to change our products and services and become subject to increased regulatory burdens, and we may be required to pay large judgments or fines. Any combination of these factors could further increase our cost of doing business and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

IF WE DO NOT SUCCESSFULLY GENERATE ADDITIONAL PRODUCTS AND SERVICES FROM OUR PATENTED METHODOLOGY AND PROPRIETARY DATABASE, OR IF SUCH PRODUCTS AND SERVICES ARE DEVELOPED BUT NOT SUCCESSFULLY COMMERCIALIZED, THEN WE COULD LOSE REVENUE OPPORTUNITIES.

Currently, our primary business is the sale of rEEG Reports to psychiatrists and physicians based on our rEEG methodology and proprietary database. In the future, we may utilize our patented methodology and proprietary database to produce pharmaceutical advancements and developments. For instance, we may use our patented methodology and proprietary database to identify new medications that are promising in the treatment of behavioral health disorders, identify new uses of medications which have been previously approved, and identify new patient populations that are responsive to medications in clinical trials that have previously failed to show efficacy in United States Food & Drug Administration (FDA) approved clinical trials. The development of new pharmaceutical applications that are based on our patented methodology and proprietary database will be costly, since we will be subject to additional regulations, including the need to conduct expensive and time consuming clinical trials.

In addition, to successfully monetize our pharmaceutical opportunity, we will need to enter into strategic alliances with biotechnology or pharmaceutical companies that have the ability to bring to market a medication, an ability which we currently do not have. We maintain no pharmaceutical manufacturing, marketing or sales organization, nor do we plan to build one in the foreseeable future. Therefore, we are reliant upon approaching and successfully negotiating attractive terms with a partner who has these capabilities. No guarantee can be made that we can do this on attractive terms. If we are unable to find strategic partners for our pharmaceutical opportunity, our revenues may not grow as quickly as we desire, which could lower our stock price.

IN THE EVENT THAT WE PURSUE OUR PHARMACEUTICAL OPPORTUNITIES, WE OR ANY DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS THAT WE PARTNER WITH WILL LIKELY NEED TO CONDUCT CLINICAL TRIALS. IF SUCH CLINICAL TRIALS ARE DELAYED OR UNSUCCESSFUL, IT COULD HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR BUSINESS.

We have no experience conducting clinical trials of psychiatric medications and in the event we conduct clinical trials, we will rely on outside parties, including academic investigators, outside consultants and contract research organizations to conduct these trials on our behalf. We will rely on these parties to assist in the recruitment of sites for participation in clinical trials, to maintain positive relations with these sites, and to ensure that these sites conduct the trials in accordance with the protocol and our instructions. If these parties renege on their obligations to us, our clinical trials may be delayed or unsuccessful.

34

In the event we conduct clinical trials, we cannot predict whether we will encounter problems that will cause us or regulatory authorities to delay or suspend our clinical trials or delay the analysis of data from our completed or ongoing clinical trials. In addition, we cannot assure you that we will be successful in reaching the endpoints in these trials, or if we do, that the FDA or other regulatory agencies will accept the results.

Any of the following could delay the completion of clinical trials, or result in a failure of these trials to support our business, which would have an adverse effect on our business:

- o delays or the inability to obtain required approvals from institutional review boards or other governing entities at clinical sites selected for participation in our clinical trials,
- o delays in enrolling patients and volunteers into clinical trials,
- o lower than anticipated retention rates of patients and volunteers in clinical trials,
- o negative results from clinical trials for any of our potential products, and
- o failure of our clinical trials to demonstrate the efficacy or clinical utility of our potential products.

If we determine that the costs associated with attaining regulatory approval of a product exceed the potential financial benefits or if the projected development timeline is inconsistent with our determination of when we need to get the product to market, we may chose to stop a clinical trial and/or development of a product.

IF WE DO NOT DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A SUCCESSFUL SALES AND MARKETING STRATEGY, WE MAY NOT EXPAND OUR BUSINESS SUFFICIENTLY TO COVER OUR EXPENSES.

We currently rely on our direct sales force to market and promote our rEEG Reports. In the event that we experience high turnover in our direct sales force, and new sales representatives do not acquire the skills to sell our rEEG Reports in a timely and successful manner, we may not be able to sustain and grow our revenue.

In addition, in order to grow our business, we will need to develop and introduce new sales and marketing programs and clinical education programs to promote the use of our rEEG Reports by psychiatrists and physicians. If we do not implement these new sales and marketing and education programs in a timely and successful manner, we may not be able to achieve the level of market awareness and sales required to expand our business.

WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO GENERATE ENOUGH ADDITIONAL REVENUE FROM ANY INTERNATIONAL EXPANSION TO OFFSET THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING FOREIGN OPERATIONS.

Currently, we do not have any international operations. However, a component of our growth strategy is to expand our presence into international markets. It is costly to establish international facilities and operations and to promote our rEEG Reports in international markets. We may encounter barriers to the sale of our rEEG Reports outside the United States, including reduced acceptance by psychiatrists and physicians of our rEEG Reports, delays in regulatory approvals outside of the United States, and difficulties associated with establishing sales channels. In addition, we have little experience in marketing and distributing our rEEG Reports in international markets. Revenue from international activities may not offset the expense of establishing and maintaining these international operations.

35

WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO MEET THE UNIQUE OPERATIONAL, LEGAL AND FINANCIAL CHALLENGES THAT WE WILL ENCOUNTER IN OUR INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS, WHICH MAY LIMIT THE GROWTH OF OUR BUSINESS.

If and when we expand internationally, we will be subject to a number of challenges which specifically relate to our international business activities. These challenges include:

- o failure of local laws to provide adequate protection against infringement of our intellectual property,
- o protectionist laws and business practices that favor local competitors, which could slow our growth in international markets.
- o less acceptance by psychiatrists and physicians of the use of our products and services,
- o delays in regulatory approval of our products or services,
- o currency conversion issues arising from sales denominated in currencies other than the United States dollar,
- o foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations,
- o longer accounts receivable payment cycles and difficulties in collecting accounts receivable.

If we are unable to meet and overcome these challenges, our international operations may not be successful which would limit the growth of our business and could adversely impact our results of operations.

WE MAY FAIL TO SUCCESSFULLY MANAGE AND MAINTAIN THE GROWTH OF OUR BUSINESS, WHICH COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

As we continue expanding our commercial operations, this expansion could place significant strain on our management, operational, and financial resources. To manage future growth, we will need to continue to hire, train, and manage additional employees, particularly a specially trained sales force to market our rEEG Reports.

In addition, we have maintained a small financial and accounting staff, and our reporting obligations as a public company, as well as our need to comply with the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and the rules and regulations of the SEC will continue to place significant demands on our financial and accounting staff, on our financial, accounting and information systems and on our internal controls. As we grow, we will need to add additional accounting staff and continue to improve our financial, accounting and

information systems and internal controls in order to fulfill our reporting responsibilities and to support expected growth in our business. Our current and planned personnel, systems, procedures and controls may not be adequate to support our anticipated growth or management may not be able to effectively hire, train, retain, motivate and manage required personnel. Our failure to manage growth effectively could limit our ability to achieve our marketing and commercialization goals or to satisfy our reporting and other obligations as a public company.

WE MAY INCUR SIGNIFICANT EXPENSES OR BE PREVENTED FROM COMMERCIALIZING OR DEVELOPING PRODUCTS AS A RESULT OF AN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY INFRINGEMENT CLAIM.

36

Our commercial success depends, in part, on our ability to operate without infringing the patents and proprietary rights of third parties. Infringement proceedings are long, costly and time-consuming and their outcome is uncertain.

If we become involved in any patent infringement litigation, interference or other administrative proceedings related to our products, we will incur substantial expenses and the time and effort of our management and scientific personnel, will be significantly diverted. As a result of such litigation or proceedings, we could lose our proprietary position, and be restricted from selling, manufacturing or distributing the affected product(s), incur substantial damage awards, including punitive damages, or be required to seek third party licenses at terms that may be unattractive, or we may fail to acquire the license.

WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO ADEQUATELY PROTECT OUR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, WHICH IS THE CORE OF OUR BUSINESS.

We consider the protection of our intellectual property to be critical to our business prospects. We currently have two issued U.S. patents, and we have filed separate patent applications in multiple foreign jurisdictions.

In the future, if we fail to file patent applications in a timely manner, or in the event we elect not to file a patent application because of the costs associated with patent prosecution, we may lose patent protection that we may have otherwise obtained. The loss of any proprietary rights which are obtainable under patent laws may result in the loss of a competitive advantage over present or potential competitors, with a resulting decrease in revenues and profitability for us.

With respect to the applications we have filed, there is no guarantee that the applications will result in issued patents, and further, any patents that do issue may be too narrow in scope to adequately protect our intellectual property and provide us with a competitive advantage. Competitors and others may design around aspects of our technology, or alternatively may independently develop similar or more advanced technologies that fall outside the scope of our claimed subject matter but that can be used in the treatment of behavioral health disorders.

In addition, even if we are issued additional patents covering our products, we cannot predict with certainty whether or not we will be able to enforce our proprietary rights, and whether our patents will provide us with adequate protection against competitors. We may be forced to engage in costly and time consuming litigation or reexamination proceedings to protect our intellectual property rights, and our opponents in such proceedings may have and be willing to expend, substantially greater resources than we are able to. In addition, the results of such proceedings may result in our patents being invalidated or reduced in scope. These developments could cause a decrease in our operating income and reduce our available cash flow, which could harm our business and cause our stock price to decline.

We also utilize processes and technology that constitute trade secrets, such as our outcomes database, and we must implement appropriate levels of security for those trade secrets to secure the protection of applicable laws, which we may not do effectively. In addition, the laws of many foreign countries do not protect proprietary rights as fully as the laws of the United States.

While we have not had any significant issues to date, the loss of any of our trade secrets or proprietary rights which may be protected under the foregoing intellectual property safeguards may result in the loss of our competitive advantage over present and potential competitors.

In order to protect our proprietary technology and processes, we rely in part on confidentiality provisions in our agreements with employees, licensees, treating physicians and psychiatrists and others. These agreements may not effectively prevent disclosure of confidential information and may not provide an adequate remedy in the event of unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Moreover, policing compliance with our confidentiality agreements and non-disclosure agreements, and detecting unauthorized use of our technology is difficult, and we may be unable to determine whether piracy of our technology has occurred. In addition, others may independently discover our trade secrets and proprietary information. Costly and time-consuming litigation could be necessary to enforce and determine the scope of our proprietary rights, and failure to obtain or maintain trade secret protection could adversely affect our competitive business position.

ALTHOUGH WE BELIEVE WE ARE NOT CURRENTLY SUBJECT TO REGULATORY APPROVAL FOR THE SALE OF OUR REEG REPORTS, REGULATIONS ARE CONSTANTLY CHANGING, AND IN THE FUTURE OUR BUSINESS MAY BE SUBJECT TO REGULATION.

Currently, we do not believe that sales of our rEEG Reports are subject to regulatory approval. However, federal, state and foreign laws and regulations relating to the sale of our rEEG Reports are subject to future changes, as are administrative interpretations of regulatory agencies. If we fail to comply with applicable federal, state or foreign laws or regulations, we could be subject to enforcement actions, including injunctions preventing us from conducting our business, withdrawal of clearances or approvals and civil and criminal penalties. In the event that federal, state, and foreign laws and regulations change, we may need to incur additional costs to seek government approvals in order to sell our rEEG Reports. There is no guarantee that we will be able to obtain such approvals in a timely manner or at all, and as a result, our revenues from our rEEG Reports may be reduced, or potentially eliminated.

IN THE FUTURE, WE INTEND TO SEEK REGULATORY APPROVAL FOR MEDICATIONS OR COMBINATIONS OF MEDICATIONS FOR NEW INDICATIONS, AND THERE IS NO GUARANTEE THAT WE WILL RECEIVE SUCH APPROVALS.

We intend to seek approval for medications or combinations of medications for new indications, either with corporate partners, or potentially, on our own. We are currently not authorized to market such medications in any jurisdiction, and we may never receive such authorization. The development and commercialization of medications for new indications is subject to extensive regulation by the U.S. Federal government, principally through the FDA and other federal, state and governmental authorities elsewhere. Prior to marketing any central nervous system medication, and in many cases prior to being able to successfully partner a central nervous system medication, we will have to conduct extensive clinical trials at our own expense to determine safety and efficacy of the indication that we are pursuing. We have no prior experience, as a company, in conducting clinical trials. Clinical trials are expensive and can take years to complete, and have uncertain outcomes. In addition, the regulatory and approval procedures vary from country to country, and additional testing may be required in some jurisdictions. It may take several years to complete the clinical trials, and a product may fail at any stage of testing. Difficulties and risks associated with clinical trials may result in our, or our partners' inability to achieve regulatory approval to market medications for central nervous system disorders. The FDA, other regulatory agencies, our collaborators, or we may suspend or terminate clinical trials at any time.

Delays or failures in obtaining regulatory approval may delay or prevent the commercialization of any product that we may develop for new indications, diminish any competitive advantage, reduce or eliminate revenues, milestone payments or royalties from collaborators, and adversely affect our ability to attract new collaborators. The results of earlier clinical trials do not necessarily predict the results of later

38

clinical trials. Medications in later clinical trials may fail to show desired safety and efficacy traits in the indication we are seeking approval for, despite prior success in clinical trials for other indications. Even if we and/or our collaborators and partners believe the data collected from such clinical trials are promising, such data may not support approval by the FDA or any other regulatory authorities. In addition, the FDA or other regulatory authority may interpret the data differently than we do, which could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval. We expect to rely, in part, on clinical trials that were performed by third-party physicians. These trial results may not be predictive of the results of clinical trials we intend to perform for new indications. In addition, the results of prior clinical trials may not now be acceptable to the FDA or other regulatory authorities because the data may be incomplete, outdated, or otherwise unacceptable for inclusions in ours or our partners' regulatory submissions for approval of medications for new indications.

IN THE EVENT WE OBTAIN REGULATORY APPROVAL FOR NEW INDICATIONS FOR EXISTING MEDICATIONS, WE WILL STILL BE SUBJECT TO EXTENSIVE REGULATION BY THE FDA AND

OTHER AGENCIES, AND IF WE FAIL TO COMPLY WITH SUCH REGULATIONS, THE SALE OF OUR PRODUCTS MAY BE RESTRICTED.

If we, or our collaborators, obtain regulatory approval for new indications for existing medications, we will still be subject to extensive regulation by the FDA and/or other regulatory agencies. We and our collaborators will be required to conduct extensive post-market surveillance of products. Our, or our collaborators', failure to comply with applicable FDA and other regulatory requirements, or the later discovery of unknown problems, may result in restrictions on the marketing or sale of such products that will negatively impact sales and/or collaboration revenue, and may result in denial of authority to market the medication product(s).

IF WE DO NOT RETAIN OUR SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND OTHER KEY EMPLOYEES, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO SUCCESSFULLY IMPLEMENT OUR BUSINESS STRATEGY.

Our future success depends on the ability, experience and performance of our senior management and our key professional personnel. Our success therefore depends to a significant extent on retaining the services of Leonard Brandt, our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Secretary, Horace Hertz, our Chief Financial Officer, and others. Because of their ability and experience, if we lose one or more of the members of our senior management or other key employees, our ability to successfully implement our business strategy could be seriously harmed.

We intend to carry key man life insurance on Leonard Brandt in an amount of \$2.0 million, payable to the company. We do not carry key man life insurance on any of our other key employees. We do not have employment agreements in place with our executives and key employees, and each may terminate their employment upon notice and without cause or good reason. While we believe our relationships with our executives are good and do not anticipate any of them leaving in the near future, the loss of the services of Leonard Brandt or any other key member of management could have a material adverse effect on our ability to manage our business.

IF WE DO NOT ATTRACT AND RETAIN SKILLED PERSONNEL OR IF WE DO NOT MAINTAIN GOOD RELATIONSHIPS WITH OUR EMPLOYEES, WE MAY NOT BE ABLE TO EXPAND OUR BUSINESS.

Our products and services are based on a complex database of information. Accordingly, we require skilled medical, scientific and administrative personnel to sell and support our products and services. Our future success will depend largely on our ability to continue to hire, train, retain and motivate additional skilled personnel, particularly sales representatives who are responsible for customer education and training and customer support, as well as personnel with experience in clinical testing and

39

matters relating to obtaining regulatory approvals. If we are not able to attract and retain skilled personnel, we will not be able to continue our development and commercialization activities.

In addition, we may be subject to claims that we engage in discriminatory or inappropriate practices with respect to our hiring, termination, promotion and compensation processes for our employees. Such claims, with or without merit, could be time consuming, distracting and expensive to defend, could divert attention of our management from other tasks important to the success of our business and could adversely affect our reputation as an employer.

IN THE FUTURE WE COULD BE SUBJECT TO PERSONAL INJURY CLAIMS, WHICH COULD RESULT IN SUBSTANTIAL LIABILITIES THAT MAY EXCEED OUR INSURANCE COVERAGE.

All significant medical treatments and procedures, including treatment that is facilitated through the use of our rEEG Reports, involve the risk of serious injury or death. While we do not treat patients or determine whether treatment that is guided by rEEG Reports that we provide is appropriate for any particular patient, and have not been the subject of any personal injury claims for patients treated by providers using our rEEG Reports, our business entails an inherent risk of claims for personal injuries, which are subject to the attendant risk of substantial damage awards. We cannot control whether individual physicians and psychiatrists will properly select patients, apply the appropriate standard of care, or conform to our procedures in determining how to treat their patients. A significant source of potential liability is negligence or alleged negligence by physicians treating patients with the aid of the rEEG Reports that we provide. There can be no assurance that a future claim or claims will not be successful or, including the cost of legal defense, will not exceed the limits of available insurance coverage.

We currently have general liability and medical professional liability insurance coverage for up to \$5 million per year for personal injury claims. We may not be able to maintain adequate liability insurance, in accordance with standard industry practice, with appropriate coverage based on the nature and

risks of our business, at acceptable costs and on favorable terms. Insurance carriers are often reluctant to provide liability insurance for new healthcare services companies and products due to the limited claims history for such companies and products. In addition, based on current insurance markets, we expect that liability insurance will be more difficult to obtain and that premiums will increase over time and as the volume of patients treated by physicians that are guided by our rEEG Reports increases. In the event of litigation, regardless of its merit or eventual outcome, or an award against us during a time when we have no available insurance or insufficient insurance, we may sustain significant losses of our operating capital which may substantially reduce stockholder equity in the company.

IF GOVERNMENT AND THIRD-PARTY PAYERS FAIL TO PROVIDE COVERAGE AND ADEQUATE PAYMENT RATES FOR TREATMENTS THAT ARE GUIDED BY OUR REEG REPORTS, OUR REVENUE AND PROSPECTS FOR PROFITABILITY MAY BE HARMED.

Our future revenue growth will depend in part upon the availability of reimbursement from third-party payers for psychiatrists and physicians who use our rEEG Reports to guide the treatment of their patients. Such third-party payers include government health programs such as Medicare and Medicaid, managed care providers, private health insurers and other organizations. These third-party payers are increasingly attempting to contain healthcare costs by demanding price discounts or rebates and limiting both coverage on which procedures they will pay for and the amounts that they will pay for new procedures. As a result, they may not cover or provide adequate payment for treatments that are guided by our rEEG Reports, which will discourage psychiatrists and physicians from utilizing the information services we provide. We may need to conduct studies to demonstrate the cost-effectiveness of treatments that are guided by our products and services to such payers' satisfaction. Such studies might require us to

40

commit a significant amount of management time and financial and other resources. Adequate third-party reimbursement might not be available to enable us to realize an appropriate return on investment in research and product development, and the lack of such reimbursement could have a material adverse effect on our operations and could adversely affect our revenues and earnings.

OUR BUSINESS PROSPECTS AND PROFITABILITY COULD BE NEGATIVELY IMPACTED IF WE HAVE OVER-ESTIMATED THE DEMAND FOR OUR REEG REPORTS.

We are focused on the market for behavioral health disorders. The projected demand for our rEEG Reports could materially differ from actual demand if our assumptions regarding this market and its trends and acceptance of our rEEG Reports by the psychiatric community prove to be incorrect or do not materialize or if other products or services gain more widespread acceptance, which in each case would adversely affect our business prospects and profitability.

WE ARE SUBJECT TO EVOLVING AND EXPENSIVE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REGULATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS. OUR FAILURE TO ADEQUATELY ADHERE TO THESE REQUIREMENTS OR THE FAILURE OR CIRCUMVENTION OF OUR CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES COULD SERIOUSLY HARM OUR BUSINESS.

Because we are a publicly traded company we are subject to certain federal, state and other rules and regulations, including applicable requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Compliance with these evolving regulations is costly and requires a significant diversion of management time and attention, particularly with regard to our disclosure controls and procedures and our internal control over financial reporting. Although we have reviewed our disclosure and internal controls and procedures in order to determine whether they are effective, our controls and procedures may not be able to prevent errors or frauds in the future. Faulty judgments, simple errors or mistakes, or the failure of our personnel to adhere to established controls and procedures may make it difficult for us to ensure that the objectives of the control system are met. A failure of our controls and procedures to detect other than inconsequential errors or fraud could seriously harm our business and results of operations.

OUR SENIOR MANAGEMENT'S LIMITED RECENT EXPERIENCE MANAGING A PUBLICLY TRADED COMPANY MAY DIVERT MANAGEMENT'S ATTENTION FROM OPERATIONS AND HARM OUR BUSINESS.

Our management team has relatively limited recent experience managing a publicly traded company and complying with federal securities laws, including compliance with recently adopted disclosure requirements on a timely basis. Our management will be required to design and implement appropriate programs and policies in responding to increased legal, regulatory compliance and reporting requirements, and any failure to do so could lead to the imposition of fines and penalties and harm our business.

THE HEALTHCARE INDUSTRY IN WHICH WE OPERATE IS SUBJECT TO SUBSTANTIAL REGULATION BY STATE AND FEDERAL AUTHORITIES, WHICH COULD HINDER, DELAY OR PREVENT US FROM COMMERCIALIZING OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES.

Healthcare companies are subject to extensive and complex federal, state and local laws, regulations and judicial decisions governing various matters such as the licensing and certification of facilities and personnel, the conduct of operations, billing policies and practices, policies and practices with regard to patient privacy and confidentiality, and prohibitions on payments for the referral of business and self-referrals. There are federal and state laws, regulations and judicial decisions that govern patient referrals, physician financial relationships, submission of healthcare claims and inducement to

41

beneficiaries of federal healthcare programs. Many states prohibit business corporations from practicing medicine, employing or maintaining control over physicians who practice medicine, or engaging in certain business practices, such as splitting fees with healthcare providers. Many healthcare laws and regulations applicable to our business are complex, applied broadly and subject to interpretation by courts and government agencies. Our failure, or the failure of physicians and psychiatrists to whom we sell our rEEG Reports, to comply with these healthcare laws and regulations could create liability for us and negatively impact our business.

In addition, the FDA, regulates development, testing, labeling, manufacturing, marketing, promotion, distribution, record-keeping and reporting requirements for prescription drugs. Compliance with laws and regulations enforced by the FDA and other regulatory agencies may be required in relation to future products or services developed or used by us. Failure to comply with applicable laws and regulations may result in various adverse consequences, including withdrawal of our products and services from the market, or the imposition of civil or criminal sanctions.

We believe that this industry will continue to be subject to increasing regulation, political and legal action and pricing pressures, the scope and effect of which we cannot predict. Legislation is continuously being proposed, enacted and interpreted at the federal, state and local levels to regulate healthcare delivery and relationships between and among participants in the healthcare industry. Any such changes could prevent us from marketing some or all of our products and services for a period of time or permanently.

WE MAY BE SUBJECT TO REGULATORY AND INVESTIGATIVE PROCEEDINGS, WHICH MAY FIND THAT OUR POLICIES AND PROCEDURES DO NOT FULLY COMPLY WITH COMPLEX AND CHANGING HEALTHCARE REGULATIONS.

While we have established policies and procedures that we believe will be sufficient to ensure that we operate in substantial compliance with applicable laws, regulations and requirements, the criteria are often vague and subject to change and interpretation. We may become the subject of regulatory or other investigations or proceedings, and our interpretations of applicable laws and regulations may be challenged. The defense of any such challenge could result in substantial cost and a diversion of management's time and attention. Thus, any such challenge could have a material adverse effect on our business, regardless of whether it ultimately is successful. If we fail to comply with any applicable laws, or a determination is made that we have failed to comply with these laws, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ACT OR SIMILAR STATE LAWS COULD RESULT IN SANCTIONS OR LIMIT THE CLAIMS WE CAN MAKE.

The Company's promotional activities and materials, including advertising to consumers and physicians, and materials provided to third parties for their use in promoting our products and services, are regulated by the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) under the FTC Act, which prohibits unfair and deceptive acts and practices, including claims which are false, misleading or inadequately substantiated. The FTC typically requires competent and reliable scientific tests or studies to substantiate express or implied claims that a product or service is effective. If the FTC were to interpret our promotional materials as making express or implied claims that our products and services are effective for the treatment of mental illness, it may find that we do not have adequate substantiation for such claims. Failure to comply with the FTC Act or similar laws enforced by state attorneys general and other state and local officials could result in administrative or judicial orders limiting or eliminating the claims we can make about our products and services, and other sanctions including fines.

OUR BUSINESS PRACTICES MAY BE FOUND TO CONSTITUTE ILLEGAL FEE-SPLITTING OR CORPORATE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE, WHICH MAY LEAD TO PENALTIES AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

Many states, including California, in which our principal executive offices are located, have laws that prohibit business corporations, such as us, from practicing medicine, exercising control over medical judgments or decisions of physicians, or engaging in certain arrangements, such as employment or fee-splitting, with physicians. Courts, regulatory authorities or other parties, including physicians, may assert that we are engaged in the unlawful corporate practice of medicine by providing administrative and ancillary services in connection with our rEEG Reports, or that selling our rEEG Reports for a portion of the patient fees constitutes improper fee-splitting, in which case we could be subject to civil and criminal penalties, our contracts could be found legally invalid and unenforceable, in whole or in part, or we could be required to restructure our contractual arrangements. There can be no assurance that this will not occur or, if it does, that we would be able to restructure our contractual arrangements on favorable terms.

OUR BUSINESS PRACTICES MAY BE FOUND TO VIOLATE ANTI-KICKBACK, SELF-REFERRAL OR FALSE CLAIMS LAWS, WHICH MAY LEAD TO PENALTIES AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

The healthcare industry is subject to extensive federal and state regulation with respect to financial relationships and "kickbacks" involving healthcare providers, physician self-referral arrangements, filing of false claims and other fraud and abuse issues. Federal anti-kickback laws and regulations prohibit certain offers, payments or receipts of remuneration in return for (i) referring patients covered by Medicare, Medicaid or other federal health care program, or (ii) purchasing, leasing, ordering or arranging for or recommending any service, good, item or facility for which payment may be made by a federal health care program. In addition, federal physician self-referral legislation, commonly known as the Stark law, generally prohibits a physician from ordering certain services reimbursable by Medicare, Medicaid or other federal healthcare program from any entity with which the physician has a financial relationship. In addition, many states have similar laws, some of which are not limited to services reimbursed by federal healthcare programs. Other federal and state laws govern the submission of claims for reimbursement, or false claims laws. One of the most prominent of these laws is the federal False Claims Act, and violations of other laws, such as the anti-kickback laws or the FDA prohibitions against promotion of off-label uses of medications, may also be prosecuted as violations of the False Claims Act.

While we believe we have structured our relationships to comply with all applicable requirements, federal or state authorities may claim that our fee arrangements, agreements and relationships with contractors and physicians violate these anti-kickback, self-referral or false claims laws and regulations. These laws are broadly worded and have been broadly interpreted by courts. It is often difficult to predict how these laws will be applied, and they potentially subject many typical business arrangements to government investigation and prosecution, which can be costly and time consuming. Violations of these laws are punishable by monetary fines, civil and criminal penalties, exclusion from participation in government-sponsored health care programs and forfeiture of amounts collected in violation of such laws. Some states also have similar anti-kickback and self-referral laws, imposing substantial penalties for violations. If our business practices are found to violate any of these provisions, we may be unable to continue with our relationships or implement our business plans, which would have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

WE MAY BE SUBJECT TO HEALTHCARE ANTI-FRAUD INITIATIVES, WHICH MAY LEAD TO PENALTIES AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR BUSINESS.

State and federal governments are devoting increased attention and resources to anti-fraud initiatives against healthcare providers, taking an expansive definition of fraud that includes receiving fees in connection with a healthcare business that is found to violate any of the complex regulations

43

described above. While to our knowledge we have not been the subject of any anti-fraud investigations, if such a claim were made defending our business practices could be time consuming and expensive, and an adverse finding could result in substantial penalties or require us to restructure our operations, which we may not be able to do successfully.

OUR USE AND DISCLOSURE OF PATIENT INFORMATION IS SUBJECT TO PRIVACY AND SECURITY REGULATIONS, WHICH MAY RESULT IN INCREASED COSTS.

In conducting research or providing administrative services to healthcare providers in connection with the use of our rEEG Reports, we may collect, use, maintain and transmit patient information in ways that will be subject to many of the numerous state, federal and international laws and

regulations governing the collection, dissemination, use and confidentiality of patient-identifiable health information, including the federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) and related rules. The three rules that were promulgated pursuant to HIPAA that could most significantly affect our business are the Standards for Electronic Transactions, or Transactions Rule; the Standards for Privacy of Individually Identifiable Health Information, or Privacy Rule; and the Health Insurance Reform: Security Standards, or Security Rule. HIPAA applies to covered entities, which include most healthcare facilities and health plans that may contract for the use of our services. The HIPAA rules require covered entities to bind contractors like us to compliance with certain burdensome HIPAA rule requirements.

The HIPAA Transactions Rule establishes format and data content standards for eight of the most common healthcare transactions. If we perform billing and collection services on behalf of psychiatrists and physicians, we may be engaging in one of more of these standard transactions and will be required to conduct those transactions in compliance with the required standards. The HIPAA Privacy Rule restricts the use and disclosure of patient information, requires entities to safeguard that information and to provide certain rights to individuals with respect to that information. The HIPAA Security Rule establishes elaborate requirements for safeguarding patient information transmitted or stored electronically. We may be required to make costly system purchases and modifications to comply with the HIPAA rule requirements that are imposed on us and our failure to comply may result in liability and adversely affect our business.

Numerous other federal and state laws protect the confidentiality of personal and patient information. These laws in many cases are not preempted by the HIPAA rules and may be subject to varying interpretations by courts and government agencies, creating complex compliance issues for us and the psychiatrists and physicians who purchase our services, and potentially exposing us to additional expense, adverse publicity and liability. Other countries also have, or are developing, laws governing the collection, use and transmission of personal or patient information and these laws could create liability for us or increase our cost of doing business.

RISKS RELATING TO INVESTMENT IN OUR COMMON STOCK

WE HAVE A LIMITED TRADING VOLUME AND SHARES ELIGIBLE FOR FUTURE SALE BY OUR CURRENT STOCKHOLDERS MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR STOCK PRICE.

Bid and ask prices for shares of our Common Stock are quoted on NASD's OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol CNSO.OB. There is currently no broadly followed, established trading market for our Common Stock. While we are hopeful that following the merger, the Company will command the interest of a greater number of investors, an established trading market for our shares of Common Stock may never develop or be maintained. Active trading markets generally result in lower price volatility and more efficient execution of buy and sell orders. The absence of an active trading market reduces the liquidity of our Common Stock. Before commencement of the private placement, we had little or no

44

trading volume in our Common Stock. As a result of this lack of trading activity, the quoted price for our Common Stock on NASD's OTC Bulletin Board is not necessarily a reliable indicator of its fair market value. Further, if we cease to be quoted, holders would find it more difficult to dispose of, or to obtain accurate quotations as to the market value of, our Common Stock, and the market value of our Common Stock would likely decline.

IF AND WHEN A TRADING MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK DEVELOPS, THE MARKET PRICE OF OUR COMMON STOCK IS LIKELY TO BE HIGHLY VOLATILE AND SUBJECT TO WIDE FLUCTUATIONS, AND YOU MAY BE UNABLE TO RESELL YOUR SHARES AT OR ABOVE THE PRICE AT WHICH YOU ACQUIRED THEM.

The market $\,$ price of our Common $\,$ Stock is likely to be highly $\,$ volatile and could be subject to wide $\,$ fluctuations $\,$ in $\,$ response $\,$ to a number of factors that are beyond our control, including:

- o quarterly variations in our revenues and operating expenses;
- o developments in the financial markets and worldwide or regional economies;
- o announcements of innovations or new products or services by us or our competitors;
- o announcements by the government relating to regulations that govern our industry;
- o significant sales of our Common Stock or other securities in the open market;

- o variations in interest rates;
- o changes in the market valuations of other comparable companies; and
- o changes in accounting principles.

In the past, stockholders have often instituted securities class action litigation after periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities. If a stockholder were to file any such class action suit against us, we would incur substantial legal fees and our management's attention and resources would be diverted from operating our business to respond to the litigation, which could harm our business.

SUBSTANTIAL FUTURE SALES OF OUR COMMON STOCK IN THE PUBLIC MARKET COULD CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO FALL.

Upon the effectiveness of a registration statement which we intend to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission in the third quarter, a significant number of our shares of Common Stock will become eligible for sale, including 5,840,374 shares sold in the March 2007 private placement and 767,103 shares held by certain of our stockholders that were issued and outstanding immediately prior to the merger. The sale of these shares could depress the market price of our Common Stock. A reduced market price for our shares could make it more difficult to raise funds through future offering of Common Stock.

The holders of these shares, to the extent such shares are not registered on the registration statement, as well as other holders of our Common Stock shall have piggy-back registration rights with respect to such shares effective September 7, 2007, and demand registration rights with respect to such shares effective March 7, 2008.

Moreover, as additional shares of Common Stock become available for resale in the open market (including shares issuable upon the exercise of the Company's outstanding options and warrants), the supply of our publicly traded shares will increase, which could decrease its price.

Some of our shares may also be offered from time to time in the open market pursuant to Rule 144, and these sales may have a depressive effect on the market for our shares. In general, a person who has held restricted shares for a period of one year may, upon filing with the Securities & Exchange Commission (the "SEC") a notification on Form 144, sell into the market shares up to an amount equal to 1% of the outstanding shares.

4.5

THE SALE OF SECURITIES BY US IN ANY EQUITY OR DEBT FINANCING COULD RESULT IN DILUTION TO OUR EXISTING STOCKHOLDERS AND HAVE A MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON OUR EARNINGS.

Any sale of Common Stock by us in a future private placement could result in dilution to our existing stockholders as a direct result of our issuance of additional shares of our capital stock. In addition, our business strategy may include expansion through internal growth, by acquiring complementary businesses, by acquiring or licensing additional products and services, or by establishing strategic relationships with targeted customers and suppliers. In order to do so, or to finance the cost of our other activities, we may issue additional equity securities that could dilute our stockholders' stock ownership. We may also assume additional debt and incur impairment losses related to goodwill and other tangible assets if we acquire another company and this could negatively impact our earnings and results of operations.

THE TRADING OF OUR COMMON STOCK ON THE OVER-THE-COUNTER BULLETIN BOARD AND THE POTENTIAL DESIGNATION OF OUR COMMON STOCK AS A "PENNY STOCK" COULD IMPACT THE TRADING MARKET FOR OUR COMMON STOCK.

Our securities, as traded on the Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board, may be subject to SEC rules that impose special sales practice requirements on broker-dealers who sell these securities to persons other than established customers or accredited investors. For the purposes of the rule, the phrase "accredited investors" means, in general terms, institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000, or individuals having a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or having an annual income that exceeds \$200,000 (or that, when combined with a spouse's income, exceeds \$300,000). For transactions covered by the rule, the broker-dealer must make a special suitability determination for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction before the sale. Consequently, the rule may affect the ability of broker-dealers to sell our securities and also may affect the ability of purchasers to sell their securities in any market that might develop therefore.

In addition, the SEC has adopted a number of rules to regulate "penny stock" that restrict transactions involving these securities. Such rules include Rules 3a51-1, 15g-1, 15g-2, 15g-3, 15g-4, 15g-5, 15g-6, 15g-7, and 15g-9 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These rules may have the

effect of reducing the liquidity of penny stocks. "Penny stocks" generally are equity securities with a price of less than \$5.00 per share (other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ Stock Market if current price and volume information with respect to transactions in such securities is provided by the exchange or system). Because our securities may constitute "penny stock" within the meaning of the rules, the rules would apply to us and to our securities. If our securities become subject to the penny stock rules, our stockholders may find it more difficult to sell their securities.

Stockholders should be aware that, according to SEC, the market for penny stocks has suffered in recent years from patterns of fraud and abuse. Such patterns include (i) control of the market for the security by one or a few broker-dealers that are often related to the promoter or issuer; (ii) manipulation of prices through prearranged matching of purchases and sales and false and misleading press releases; (iii) "boiler room" practices involving high-pressure sales tactics and unrealistic price projections by inexperienced sales persons; (iv) excessive and undisclosed bid-ask differentials and markups by selling broker-dealers; and (v) the wholesale dumping of the same securities by promoters and broker-dealers after prices have been manipulated to a desired level, resulting in investor losses. Our management is aware of the abuses that have occurred historically in the penny stock market. Although we do not expect to be in a position to dictate the behavior of the market or of broker-dealers who participate in the market, management will strive within the confines of practical limitations to prevent the described patterns from being established with respect to our securities.

46

WE HAVE NOT PAID DIVIDENDS IN THE PAST AND DO NOT EXPECT TO PAY DIVIDENDS FOR THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE, AND ANY RETURN ON INVESTMENT MAY BE LIMITED TO POTENTIAL FUTURE APPRECIATION ON THE VALUE OF OUR COMMON STOCK.

We currently intend to retain any future earnings to support the development and expansion of our business and do not anticipate paying cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors after taking into account various factors, including without limitation, our financial condition, operating results, cash needs, growth plans and the terms of any credit agreements that we may be a party to at the time. To the extent we do not pay dividends, our stock may be less valuable because a return on investment will only occur if and to the extent our stock price appreciates, which may never occur. In addition, investors must rely on sales of their Common Stock after price appreciation as the only way to realize their investment, and if the price of our stock does not appreciate, then there will be no return on investment. Investors seeking cash dividends should not purchase our Common Stock.

OUR OFFICERS, DIRECTORS AND PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS CAN EXERT SIGNIFICANT INFLUENCE OVER US AND MAY MAKE DECISIONS THAT ARE NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF ALL STOCKHOLDERS.

After the closing of the merger and private placement in March 2007, our officers, directors and principal stockholders (greater than 5% stockholders) collectively control approximately 33% of our issued and outstanding Common Stock. As a result, these stockholders are able to affect the outcome of, or exert significant influence over, all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election and removal of directors and any change in control. In particular, this concentration of ownership of our Common Stock could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control of us or otherwise discouraging or preventing a potential acquirer from attempting to obtain control of us. This, in turn, could have a negative effect on the market price of our Common Stock. It could also prevent our stockholders from realizing a premium over the market prices for their shares of Common Stock. Moreover, the interests of this concentration of ownership may not always coincide with our interests or the interests of other stockholders, and accordingly, they could cause us to enter into transactions or agreements that we would not otherwise consider.

TRANSACTIONS ENGAGED IN BY OUR LARGEST STOCKHOLDERS, OUR DIRECTORS OR EXECUTIVES INVOLVING OUR COMMON STOCK MAY HAVE AN ADVERSE EFFECT ON THE PRICE OF OUR STOCK.

After the closing of the merger and private placement in March 2007, our officers, directors and principal stockholders (greater than 5% stockholders) collectively control approximately 33% of our issued and outstanding Common Stock. Subsequent sales of our shares by these stockholders could have the effect of lowering our stock price. The perceived risk associated with the possible sale of a large number of shares by these stockholders, or the adoption of significant short positions by hedge funds or other significant investors, could cause some of our stockholders to sell their stock, thus causing the price of our stock to decline. In addition, actual or anticipated downward pressure on our stock price due to actual or anticipated sales of stock by our directors or officers could cause other institutions or individuals to engage in short sales of our Common Stock, which may further cause the price of

our stock to decline.

From time to time our directors and executive officers may sell shares of our common stock on the open market. These sales will be publicly disclosed in filings made with the SEC. In the future, our directors and executive officers may sell a significant number of shares for a variety of reasons unrelated to the performance of our business. Our stockholders may perceive these sales as a reflection on management's view of the business and result in some stockholders selling their shares of our common stock. These sales could cause the price of our stock to drop.

47

ANTI-TAKEOVER PROVISIONS MAY LIMIT THE ABILITY OF ANOTHER PARTY TO ACQUIRE US, WHICH COULD CAUSE OUR STOCK PRICE TO DECLINE.

Delaware law contains provisions that could discourage, delay or prevent a third party from acquiring us, even if doing so may be beneficial to our stockholders, which could cause our stock price to decline. In addition, these provisions could limit the price investors would be willing to pay in the future for shares of our Common Stock.

ITEM 3. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Members of the company's management, including our Chief Executive Officer and President, Leonard J. Brandt, and Chief Financial Officer, Horace Hertz, have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined by paragraph (e) of Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15, as of March 31, 2007, the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon that evaluation, Messrs. Brandt and Hertz concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors identified in connection with the evaluation required by paragraph (d) of exchange act rules 13a-15 or 15d-15 that occurred during the second quarter ended March 31, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

48

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

TVUTDTM

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

EXHIBIT NUMBER 	EXHIBIT TITLE
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger between Strativation, Inc., CNS Merger Corporation and CNS Response, Inc. dated as of January 16, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on January 22, 2007.
2.2	Amendment No. 1 to Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among Strativation, Inc., CNS Merger Corporation, and CNS Response, Inc. dated as of February 28, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on March 1, 2007.
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation, dated March 17, 1987, incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 3(i) to the Registrant's Form 10-SB (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on June 7, 1999.
3.2	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, dated June 1, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 16 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on June 8, 2004.

Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation, dated August 2, 2004, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 16 to the

Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on August 5, 2004.

- 3.4 Certificate of Ownership and Merger Merging CNS Response, Inc., a Delaware corporation, with and into Strativation, Inc., a Delaware corporation, dated March 7, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File 000-26285) filed with the Commission on March 13, 2007.
- 3.5 Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 3(ii) to the Registrant's Form 10-SB (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on June 7, 1999.
- 4.1 2006 CNS Response, Inc. Option Plan.
- 4.2 Form of Warrant issued to Investors in Private Placement, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File 000-26285) filed with the Commission on March 13, 2007.
- Amended and Restated Shares for Debt Agreement, dated January 16, 2007 by and between the Registrant and Richardson & Patel LLP 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on January 16, 2007.
- Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement, dated January 16, 2007 by and among the Registrant and the stockholders signatory thereto incorporated by reference to Exhibit No. 10.2 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-26285) filed with the Commission on January 16, 2007.

49

- 10.3 Form of Subscription Agreement between the Registrant and certain investors, dated March 7, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File 000-26285) filed with the Commission on March 13, 2007.
- Form of Indemnification Agreement by and among the Registrant, CNS Response, Inc., a California corporation, and certain individuals, dated March 7, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File 000-26285) filed with the Commission on March 13, 2007.
- 10.5 Form of Registration Rights Agreement by and among the Registrant and certain Investors signatory thereto dated March 7, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File 000-26285) filed with the Commission on March 13, 2007.
- Form of Registration Rights Agreement by and among the Registrant and certain stockholders of the Company signatory thereto dated March 7, 2007, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File 000-26285) filed with the Commission on March 13, 2007.
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

50

SIGNATURES

on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

CNS Response, Inc.

Date: May 14, 2007 /s/ Horace Hertz

By: Horace Hertz

Tts: Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

CNS RESPONSE, INC.

2006 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

(Adopted by the Board of Directors on August 3, 2006)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Pag	e
SECTION	1.	ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE	1
SECTION	2.	DEFINITIONS	1
	(a)	"Affiliate"	1
	(b)	"Award"	1
	(c)	"Board of Directors"	1
	(d)	"Change in Control"	1
	(e)	"Code"	2
	(f)	"Company"	2
	(g)	"Consultant"	2
	(h)	"Employee"	2
	(i)	"Exchange Act"	3
	(j)	"Exercise Price"	3
	(k)	"Fair Market Value"	3
	(1)	"ISO"	3
	(m)	"Nonstatutory Option" or "NSO"	3
	(n)	"Offeree"	3
	(0)	"Option"	3
	(q)	"Optionee"	3
	(a)	"Outside Director"	4
	(r)	"Parent"	4
	(s)	"Participant"	4
	(t)	"Plan"	4
	(u)	"Purchase Price"	4
	(V)	"Restricted Share"	4
	(w)	"Restricted Share Agreement"	4
	(x)	"SAR"	4
	(y)	"SAR Agreement".	4
	(y)	"Service"	4
	(2) (aa)	"Share"	4
	(bb)	"Stock"	4
	(cc)	"Stock Option Agreement"	4
			4
	(dd)	"Stock Unit"	
	(ee)	"Stock Unit Agreement"	5
	(ff)	"Subsidiary"	5
	(gg)	"Total and Permanent Disability"	Э
SECTION	3.	ADMINISTRATION	5
	(a)	General; Committee Composition	5
	(b)	Committee for Non-Officer Grants	5
	(c)	Committee Procedures	5
	(d)	Administrator Responsibilities	5
	(44)		_
		i	
			_
SECTION	4.	ELIGIBILITY	7
	(a)	General Rule	7
	(b)	Ten-Percent Stockholders	7
	(c)	Attribution Rules	7
	(d)	Outstanding Stock	7
	_		_
SECTION	5.	STOCK SUBJECT TO PLAN	7
	(a)	Basic Limitation	7
	(b)	Award Limitation	7
	(c)	Additional Shares	8
SECTION	6.	RESTRICTED SHARES	8
	(a)	Restricted Stock Agreement	8
	(b)	Payment for Awards	8
	(c)	Vesting	8
	(d)		8

	(e)	Restrictions on Transfer of Shares	8
SECTION	7.	TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF OPTIONS	9
	(a)	Stock Option Agreement	9
	(b)	Number of Shares	9
	(c)	Exercise Price	9
	(d)	Withholding Taxes	9
	(e)	Exercisability and Term	9
	(f)	Exercise of Options	10
	(g) (h)	Effect of Change in Control	10
	(i)	No Rights as a Stockholder	
	(j)	Modification, Extension and Renewal of Options	
	(k)	Restrictions on Transfer of Shares	
	(1)	Buyout Provisions	11
SECTION	8	PAYMENT FOR SHARES	11
DECTION	0.	TATEBUT TOX SHARES	11
	(a)	General Rule	11
	(b)	Surrender of Stock	
	(c)	Services Rendered	
	(d) (e)	Exercise/Pledge	
	(f)	Promissory Note	
	(g)	Other Forms of Payment	
	(h)	imitations under Applicable Law	12
		ii	
SECTION	9.	STOCK APPRECIATION RIGHTS	12
	(a)	SAR Agreement	
	(b)	Number of Shares	
	(c) (d)	Exercise Price Exercisability and Term	
	(e)	Effect of Change in Control	
	(f)	Exercise of SARs	
	(g)	Modification or Assumption of SARs	
SECTION	10.	STOCK UNITS	13
	(a)	Stock Unit Agreement	13
	(b)	Payment for Awards	13
	(c)	Vesting Conditions	13
	(d)	Voting and Dividend Rights	
	(e)	Form and Time of Settlement of Stock Units	
	(f)	Death of Recipient	14
	(g)	ofeareors rights	11
SECTION	11.	ADJUSTMENT OF SHARES	14
	(a)	Adjustments	14
	(b)	Dissolution or Liquidation	
	(c)	Reorganizations	
	(d)	Reservation of Rights	15
SECTION	12.	DEFERRAL OF AWARDS	15
SECTION	13.	AWARDS UNDER OTHER PLANS	16
SECTION	14.	PAYMENT OF DIRECTOR'S FEES IN SECURITIES	16
	(a)	Effective Date	16
	(b)	Elections to Receive NSOs, Restricted Shares or Stock Units	1.0
	(c)	Number and Terms of NSOs, Restricted Shares	10
	\ = /	or Stock Units	16
SECTION	15.	LEGAL AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	16
SECTION	16.	WITHHOLDING TAXES	17
	(a)	General	17
	(b)		17
		•	
SECTION	17.	OTHER PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO AWARDS	17
	(a)	Transferability	
	(b)	Qualifying Performance Criteria	

SECTION	18.	NO EMPLOYMENT RIGHTS	18
SECTION	19.	DURATION AND AMENDMENTS	18
		Term of the Plan	18
SECTION	20.	EXECUTION	18

iν

CNS RESPONSE, INC.

2006 STOCK INCENTIVE PLAN

SECTION 1. ESTABLISHMENT AND PURPOSE.

The Plan (as hereinafter defined) was adopted by the Board of Directors on August 3, 2006, and shall become effective as of July 19, 2006, provided it is approved by the holders of at least a two-thirds (2/3) of the issued and outstanding shares of the Company's common stock (the "Effective Date"). The purpose of the Plan is to promote the long-term success of the Company (as hereinafter defined) and the creation of stockholder value by (a) encouraging Employees (as hereinafter defined), Outside Directors (as hereinafter defined) and Consultants (as hereinafter defined) to focus on critical long-range objectives, (b) encouraging the attraction and retention of Employees, Outside Directors and Consultants with exceptional qualifications and (c) linking Employees, Outside Directors and Consultants directly to stockholder interests through increased stock ownership. The Plan seeks to achieve this purpose by providing for Awards (as hereinafter defined) in the form of restricted shares, stock units, options (which may constitute incentive stock options or nonstatutory stock options) or stock appreciation rights. The Plan shall be administered by the Administrator, as provided in Section 3 hereof. For the purposes hereof, "Administrator" shall mean the Board of Directors (as defined hereinafter) or any committee authorized by the Board of Directors to administer the Plan, pursuant to the terms hereof.

SECTION 2. DEFINITIONS.

- (a) "Affiliate" shall mean any entity other than a Subsidiary, if the Company and/or one of more Subsidiaries own not less than 50% of such entity.
- (b) "Award" shall mean any award of an Option, a SAR, a Restricted Share or a Stock Unit under the Plan.
- (c) "Board of Directors" shall mean the Board of Directors of the Company, as constituted from time to time.
- (d) "Change in Control" shall mean the occurrence of any of the following events:
 - (i) A change in the composition of the Board of Directors occurs, as a result of which fewer than one-half of the incumbent directors are directors who either:
 - (A) Had been directors of the Company on the
 "look-back date" (as defined below) (the
 "original directors"); or
 - (B) Were elected, or nominated for election, to the Board of Directors with the affirmative votes of at least a majority of the aggregate of the original directors who were still in office at the time of the election or nomination and the directors whose election or nomination was previously so approved (the "continuing directors"); or

1

(ii) Any "person" (as defined below) who by the acquisition or aggregation of securities, is or becomes the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly, of securities of the Company representing 50% or more of the combined voting power of the Company's then outstanding securities ordinarily (and apart from rights accruing under special

circumstances) having the right to vote at elections of directors (the "Base Capital Stock"); except that any change in the relative beneficial ownership of the Company's securities by any person resulting solely from a reduction in the aggregate number of outstanding shares of Base Capital Stock, and any decrease thereafter in such person's ownership of securities, shall be disregarded until such person increases in any manner, directly or indirectly, such person's beneficial ownership of any securities of the Company; or

- (iii) The consummation of a merger or consolidation of the Company with or into another entity or any other corporate reorganization, if persons who were not stockholders of the Company immediately prior to such merger, consolidation or other reorganization own immediately after such merger, consolidation or other reorganization 50% or more of the voting power of the outstanding securities of each of (A) the continuing or surviving entity and (B) any direct or indirect parent corporation of such continuing or surviving entity; or
- (iv) The sale, transfer or other disposition of all or substantially all of the Company's assets.

For purposes of subsection (d)(i) above, the term "look-back" date shall mean the later of (1) the Effective Date or (2) the date 12 months prior to the date of the event that may constitute a Change in Control.

For purposes of subsection (d)(ii) above, the term "person" shall have the same meaning as when used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act but shall exclude (1) a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan maintained by the Company or a Parent or Subsidiary and (2) a corporation owned directly or indirectly by the stockholders of the Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of the Stock.

Any other provision of this Section 2(d) notwithstanding, a transaction shall not constitute a Change in Control if its sole purpose is to change the state of the Company's incorporation or to create a holding company that will be owned in substantially the same proportions by the persons who held the Company's securities immediately before such transaction.

- (e) "Code" shall mean the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
- (f) "Company" shall mean CNS Response, Inc.
- (g) "Consultant" shall mean a consultant or advisor who provides bona fide services to the Company, a Parent, a Subsidiary or an Affiliate as an independent contractor or a member of the board of directors of a Parent or a Subsidiary who is not an Employee.
- (h) "Employee" shall mean any individual who is a common-law employee of the Company, a Parent or a Subsidiary.

2

- (i) "Exchange Act" shall mean the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- (j) "Exercise Price" shall mean, in the case of an Option, the amount for which one Common Share may be purchased upon exercise of such Option, as specified in the applicable Stock Option Agreement. "Exercise Price," in the case of a SAR, shall mean an amount, as specified in the applicable SAR Agreement, which is subtracted from the Fair Market Value of one Common Share in determining the amount payable upon exercise of such SAR.
- (k) "Fair Market Value" with respect to a Share, shall mean the market price of one Share of Stock, determined by the Administrator as follows:
 - (i) If the Stock was traded over-the-counter on the date in question but was not traded on The Nasdaq Stock Market, then the Fair Market Value shall be equal to the last transaction price quoted for such date by the OTC Bulletin Board or, if not so quoted, shall be equal to the mean between the last reported representative bid and asked prices quoted for such

date by the principal automated inter-dealer quotation system on which the Stock is quoted or, if the Stock is not quoted on any such system, by the "Pink Sheets" published by the National Quotation Bureau, Inc.;

- (ii) If the Stock was traded on The Nasdaq Stock Market, then the Fair Market Value shall be equal to the last reported sale price quoted for such date by The Nasdaq Stock Market;
- (iii) If the Stock was traded on a United States stock exchange on the date in question, then the Fair Market Value shall be equal to the closing price reported for such date by the applicable composite-transactions report; and
- (iv) If none of the foregoing provisions is applicable, then the Fair Market Value shall be determined by the Administrator in good faith on such basis as it deems appropriate.

In all cases, the determination of Fair Market Value by the Administrator shall be conclusive and binding on all persons.

- (1) "ISO" shall mean an employee incentive stock option described in Section 422 of the Code.
- (m) "Nonstatutory Option" or "NSO" shall mean an employee stock option that is not an ISO.
- (n) "Offeree" shall mean an individual to whom the Administrator has offered the right to acquire Shares under the Plan (other than upon exercise of an Option).
- (o) "Option" shall mean an ISO or Nonstatutory Option granted under the Plan and entitling the holder to purchase Shares.
- (p) "Optionee" shall mean an individual or estate who holds an Option or SAR. $\,$

3

- (q) "Outside Director" shall mean a member of the Board of Directors who is not a common-law employee of, or paid consultant to, the Company, a Parent or a Subsidiary.
- (r) "Parent" shall mean any corporation (other than the Company) in an unbroken chain of corporations ending with the Company, if each of the corporations other than the Company owns stock possessing 50% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock in one of the other corporations in such chain. A corporation that attains the status of a Parent on a date after the adoption of the Plan shall be a Parent commencing as of such date.
- (s) "Participant" shall mean an individual or estate who holds an $\mbox{\sc Award.}$
- (t) "Plan" shall mean this CNS Response, Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended from time to time.
- (u) "Purchase Price" shall mean the consideration for which one Share may be acquired under the Plan (other than upon exercise of an Option), as specified by the Administrator.
- (v) "Restricted Share" shall mean a Share awarded under the Plan.
- (w) "Restricted Share Agreement" shall mean the agreement between the Company and the recipient of a Restricted Share which contains the terms, conditions and restrictions pertaining to such Restricted Shares.
- (x) "SAR" shall mean a stock appreciation right granted under the Plan.
- (y) "SAR Agreement" shall mean the agreement between the Company and an Optionee which contains the terms, conditions and restrictions pertaining to his or her SAR.
- (z) "Service" shall mean service as an Employee, Consultant or Outside Director.

- (aa) "Share" shall mean one share of Stock, as adjusted in accordance with Section 8 (if applicable).
- (bb) "Stock" shall mean the Common Stock of the Company.
- (cc) "Stock Option Agreement" shall mean the agreement between the Company and an Optionee that contains the terms, conditions and restrictions pertaining to his Option.
- (dd) "Stock Unit" shall mean a bookkeeping entry representing the equivalent of one Share, as awarded under the Plan.
- (ee) "Stock Unit Agreement" shall mean the agreement between the Company and the recipient of a Stock Unit which contains the terms, conditions and restrictions pertaining to such Stock Unit.
- (ff) "Subsidiary" shall mean any corporation, if the Company and/or one or more other Subsidiaries own not less than 50% of the total combined voting power of all classes of

4

outstanding stock of such corporation. A corporation that attains the status of a Subsidiary on a date after the adoption of the Plan shall be considered a Subsidiary commencing as of such date.

(gg) "Total and Permanent Disability" shall mean that the Optionee is unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment that can be expected to result in death or that has lasted, or can be expected to last, for a continuous period of not less than 12 months.

SECTION 3. ADMINISTRATION.

- GENERAL; COMMITTEE COMPOSITION. The Plan shall be administered (a) by the Board of Directors. The Board of Directors may also designate a committee of the Board of directors to administer the Plan, which committee shall consist of two or more directors of the Company, who shall be appointed by the Board of Directors. In addition, to the extent that the Company has a class of stock registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act or is subject to the reporting obligations under Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the composition of the committee shall satisfy (i) such requirements as the Securities and Exchange Commission may establish for administrators acting under plans intended to qualify for exemption under Rule 16b-3 (or its successor) under the Exchange Act; and (ii) such requirements as the Internal Revenue Service may establish for outside directors acting under plans intended to qualify for exemption under Section 162(m)(4)(C) of the Code.
- (b) COMMITTEE FOR NON-OFFICER GRANTS. The Board of Directors may also appoint one or more separate committees of the Board of Directors, each composed of one or more directors of the Company who need not satisfy the requirements of Section 3(a), who may administer the Plan with respect to Employees who are not considered officers or directors of the Company under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, may grant Awards under the Plan to such Employees and may determine all terms of such grants. The Board of Directors may also authorize one or more officers of the Company to designate Employees, other than officers under Section 16 of the Exchange Act, to receive Awards and/or to determine the number of such Awards to be received by such persons; provided, however, that the Board of Directors shall specify the total number of Awards that such officers may so award.
- (c) COMMITTEE PROCEDURES. The Board of Directors shall designate one of the members of each committee provided for hereunder as chairman. Each committee may hold meetings at such times and places as it shall determine. The acts of a majority of any committee members present at meetings at which a quorum exists, or acts reduced to or approved in writing by all committee members, shall be valid acts of such committee.
- (d) ADMINISTRATOR RESPONSIBILITIES. Subject to the provisions of the Plan, the Administrator shall have full authority and discretion to take the following actions:

- (i) To interpret the Plan and to apply its provisions;
- (ii) To adopt, amend or rescind rules, procedures and forms relating to the Plan;

5

- (iii) To authorize any person to execute, on behalf of the Company, any instrument required to carry out the purposes of the Plan;
- (iv) To determine when Awards are to be granted under the
- (v) To select the Offerees and Optionees;
- (vi) To determine the number of Shares to be made subject to each Award;
- (vii) To prescribe the terms and conditions of each Award, including (without limitation) the Exercise Price and Purchase Price, and the vesting or duration of the Award (including accelerating the vesting of Awards, either at the time of the Award or thereafter, without the consent of the Participant), to determine whether an Option is to be classified as an ISO or as a Nonstatutory Option, and to specify the provisions of the agreement relating to such Award;
- (viii) To amend any outstanding Award agreement, subject to applicable legal restrictions and to the consent of the Participant if the Participant's rights or obligations would be materially impaired;
- (ix) To prescribe the consideration for the grant of each Award or other right under the Plan and to determine the sufficiency of such consideration;
- (x) To determine the disposition of each Award or other right under the Plan in the event of a Participant's divorce or dissolution of marriage;
- (xi) To determine whether Awards under the Plan will be granted in replacement of other grants under an incentive or other compensation plan of an acquired business;
- (xii) To correct any defect, supply any omission, or reconcile any inconsistency in the Plan or any Award agreement;
- (xiii) To establish or verify the extent of satisfaction of any performance goals or other conditions applicable to the grant, issuance, exercisability, vesting and/or ability to retain any Award; and
- (xiv) To take any other actions deemed necessary or advisable for the administration of the Plan.

Subject to the requirements of applicable law, the Administrator may designate persons other than members of the Committee to carry out its responsibilities and may prescribe such conditions and limitations as it may deem appropriate, except that the Administrator may not delegate its authority with regard to the selection for participation of or the granting of Options or other rights under the Plan to persons subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act. All decisions, interpretations and other actions of the Administrator shall be final and binding on all Offerees, all Optionees, and all persons deriving their rights from an Offeree or Optionee. No

6

member of the Administrator shall be liable for any action that he has taken or has failed to take in good faith with respect to the Plan, any Option, or any right to acquire Shares under the Plan.

SECTION 4. ELIGIBILITY.

- (a) GENERAL RULE. Only Employees shall be eligible for the grant of ISOs. Only Employees, Consultants and Outside Directors shall be eligible for the grant of Restricted Shares, Stock Units, Nonstatutory Options or SARs.
- (b) TEN-PERCENT STOCKHOLDERS. A stockholder who owns more than 10% of the total combined voting power of all classes of outstanding stock of the Company, a Parent or Subsidiary shall not be eligible for the grant of an ISO unless such grant satisfies the requirements of Section 422(c)(5) of the Code, and shall not be eligible for any Award unless such grant satisfies the requirements of Cal. Admin. Code tit. 10, s 260.140.41.
- (c) ATTRIBUTION RULES. For purposes of Section 4(b) above, in determining stock ownership, an Employee shall be deemed to own the stock owned, directly or indirectly, by or for such Employee's brothers, sisters, spouse, ancestors and lineal descendants. Stock owned, directly or indirectly, by or for a corporation, partnership, estate or trust shall be deemed to be owned proportionately by or for its stockholders, partners or beneficiaries.
- (d) OUTSTANDING STOCK. For purposes of Section 4(b) above, "outstanding stock" shall include all stock actually issued and outstanding immediately after the grant. "Outstanding stock" shall not include shares authorized for issuance under outstanding options held by the Employee or by any other person.

SECTION 5. STOCK SUBJECT TO PLAN.

- (a) BASIC LIMITATION. Shares offered under the Plan shall be authorized but unissued Shares. The aggregate number of Shares authorized for issuance as Awards under the Plan shall be Ten Million (10,000,000). The number of Shares that are subject to Options or other rights outstanding at any time under the Plan shall not exceed the number of Shares which then remain available for issuance under the Plan. The Company, during the term of the Plan, shall at all times reserve and keep available sufficient Shares to satisfy the requirements of the Plan.
- (b) AWARD LIMITATION. Subject to the provisions of Section 11, and without limiting the powers of the Board of Directors, the Board of Directors may limit the number of Shares underlying or relating to Options, SARs, Restricted Shares or Stock Units that a Participant may receive under the Plan in any calendar year, or place any other limitations on the number and types of Awards (or the Shares underlying or relating to Awards) that may be granted to a Participant under the Plan. The maximum number of Shares that may be subject to all Awards granted under the Plan to any one Participant during any fiscal year is Three Million (3,000,000) shares.
- (c) ADDITIONAL SHARES. If Restricted Shares or Shares issued upon the exercise of Options are forfeited, then such Shares shall again become available for Awards under the Plan. If Stock Units, Options or SARs are forfeited or terminate for any other reason before being exercised, then the corresponding Shares shall again become available for Awards under the

7

Plan. If Stock Units are settled, then only the number of Shares (if any) actually issued in settlement of such Stock Units shall reduce the number available under Section 5(a) and the balance shall again become available for Awards under the Plan. If SARs are exercised, then only the number of Shares (if any) actually issued in settlement of such SARs shall reduce the number available in Section 5(a) and the balance shall again become available for Awards under the Plan.

SECTION 6. RESTRICTED SHARES.

(a) RESTRICTED STOCK AGREEMENT. Each grant of Restricted Shares under the Plan shall be evidenced by a Restricted Stock Agreement between the recipient and the Company. Such Restricted Shares shall be subject to all applicable terms of the Plan and may be subject to any other terms that are not inconsistent with the Plan. The provisions of the various

Restricted Stock Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical.

- (b) PAYMENT FOR AWARDS. Subject to the following sentence, Restricted Shares may be sold or awarded under the Plan for such consideration as the Administrator may determine, including (without limitation) cash, cash equivalents, full-recourse promissory notes, past services and future services. To the extent that an Award consists of newly issued Restricted Shares, the Award recipient shall furnish consideration with a value not less than 85% of the Fair Market Value of such Restricted Shares in the form of cash, cash equivalents, or past services rendered to the Company (or a Parent or Subsidiary), as the Administrator may determine.
- (c) VESTING. Each Award of Restricted Shares may or may not be subject to vesting. Vesting shall occur, in full or in installments, upon satisfaction of the conditions specified in the Restricted Stock Agreement. A Restricted Stock Agreement may provide for accelerated vesting in the event of the Participant's death, disability or retirement or other events. The Administrator may determine, at the time of granting Restricted Shares of thereafter, that all or part of such Restricted Shares shall become vested in the event that a Change in Control occurs with respect to the Company.
- (d) VOTING AND DIVIDEND RIGHTS. The holders of Restricted Shares awarded under the Plan shall have the same voting, dividend and other rights as the Company's other stockholders. A Restricted Stock Agreement, however, may require that the holders of Restricted Shares invest any cash dividends received in additional Restricted Shares. Such additional Restricted Shares shall be subject to the same conditions and restrictions as the Award with respect to which the dividends were paid.
- (e) RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER OF SHARES. Restricted Shares shall be subject to such rights of repurchase, rights of first refusal or other restrictions as the Administrator may determine. Such restrictions shall be set forth in the applicable Restricted Stock Agreement and shall apply in addition to any general restrictions that may apply to all holders of Shares.

SECTION 7. TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF OPTIONS.

(a) STOCK OPTION AGREEMENT. Each grant of an Option under the Plan shall be evidenced by a Stock Option Agreement between the Optionee and the Company. Such Option shall be subject to all applicable terms and conditions of the Plan and may be subject to any other

8

terms and conditions which are not inconsistent with the Plan and which the Administrator deems appropriate for inclusion in a Stock Option Agreement. The Stock Option Agreement shall specify whether the Option is an ISO or an NSO. The provisions of the various Stock Option Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical. Options may be granted in consideration of a reduction in the Optionee's other compensation.

- (b) NUMBER OF SHARES. Each Stock Option Agreement shall specify the number of Shares that are subject to the Option and shall provide for the adjustment of such number in accordance with Section 11.
- (c) EXERCISE PRICE. Each Stock Option Agreement shall specify the Exercise Price. The Exercise Price of an ISO shall not be less than 100% of the Fair Market Value of a Share on the date of grant, except as otherwise provided in 4(c), and the Exercise Price of an NSO shall not be less 85% of the Fair Market Value of a Share on the date of grant. Subject to the foregoing in this Section 7(c), the Exercise Price under any Option shall be determined by the Administrator at its sole discretion. The Exercise Price shall be payable in one of the forms described in Section 8.
- (d) WITHHOLDING TAXES. As a condition to the exercise of an Option, the Optionee shall make such arrangements as the Administrator may require for the satisfaction of any federal, state, local or foreign withholding tax obligations that may arise in connection with such exercise. The Optionee shall

also make such arrangements as the Administrator may require for the satisfaction of any federal, state, local or foreign withholding tax obligations that may arise in connection with the disposition of Shares acquired by exercising an Option.

EXERCISABILITY AND TERM. Each Stock Option Agreement shall (e) specify the date when all or any installment of the Option is to become exercisable. The Stock Option Agreement shall also specify the term of the Option; provided that the term of an ISO shall in no event exceed 10 years from the date of grant (five years for Employees described in Section 4(b)). A Stock Option Agreement may provide for accelerated exercisability in the event of the Optionee's death, disability, or retirement or other events and may provide for expiration prior to the end of its term in the event of the termination of the Optionee's Service. Options may be awarded in combination with SARs, and such an Award may provide that the Options will not be exercisable unless the related SARs are forfeited. Subject to the foregoing in this Section 7(e), the Administrator at discretion shall determine when all or any its sole installment of an Option is to become exercisable and when an Option is to expire.

9

- (f) EXERCISE OF OPTIONS. Upon Termination of Service. Each Stock Option Agreement shall set forth the extent to which the Optionee shall have the right to exercise the Option following termination of the Optionee's Service with the Company and its Subsidiaries, and the right to exercise the Option of any executors or administrators of the Optionee's estate or any person who has acquired such Option(s) directly from the Optionee by bequest or inheritance. Such provisions shall be determined in the sole discretion of the Administrator, need not be uniform among all Options issued pursuant to the Plan, and may reflect distinctions based on the reasons for termination of Service.
- (g) EFFECT OF CHANGE IN CONTROL. The Administrator may determine, at the time of granting an Option or thereafter, that such Option shall become exercisable as to all or part of the Shares subject to such Option in the event that a Change in Control occurs with respect to the Company.
- (h) LEAVES OF ABSENCE. An Employee's Service shall cease when such Employee ceases to be actively employed by, or a Consultant to, the Company (or any subsidiary) as determined in the sole discretion of the Board of Directors. For purposes of Options, Service does not terminate when an Employee goes on a bona fide leave of absence, that was approved by the Company in writing, if the terms of the leave provide for continued service crediting, or when continued service crediting is required by applicable law. However, for purposes of determining whether an Option is entitled to status, an Employee's Service will be treated as terminating 90 days after such Employee went on leave, unless such Employee's right to return to active work is guaranteed by law or by a contract. Service terminates in any event when the approved leave ends, unless such Employee immediately returns to active work. The Company determines which leaves count toward Service, and when Service terminates for all purposes under the Plan.
- (i) NO RIGHTS AS A STOCKHOLDER. An Optionee, or a transferee of an Optionee, shall have no rights as a stockholder with respect to any Shares covered by his Option until the date of the issuance of a stock certificate for such Shares. No adjustments shall be made, except as provided in Section 11.
- (j) MODIFICATION, EXTENSION AND RENEWAL OF OPTIONS. Within the limitations of the Plan, the Administrator may modify, extend or renew outstanding options or may accept the cancellation of outstanding options (to the extent not previously exercised), whether or not granted hereunder, in return for the grant of new Options for the same or a different number of Shares and at the same or a different exercise price, or in return for the grant of the same or a different number of Shares. The foregoing notwithstanding, no modification of an Option shall, without the consent of the Optionee, materially impair his or her rights or obligations under such Option.
- (k) RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER OF SHARES. Any Shares issued upon exercise of an Option shall be subject to such special

forfeiture conditions, rights of repurchase, rights of first refusal and other transfer restrictions as the Administrator may determine. Such restrictions shall be set forth in the applicable Stock Option Agreement and shall apply in addition to any general restrictions that may apply to all holders of Shares.

10

(1) BUYOUT PROVISIONS. The Administrator may at any time (a) offer to buy out for a payment in cash or cash equivalents an Option previously granted or (b) authorize an Optionee to elect to cash out an Option previously granted, in either case at such time and based upon such terms and conditions as the Administrator shall establish.

SECTION 8. PAYMENT FOR SHARES.

- (a) GENERAL RULE. The entire Exercise Price or Purchase Price of Shares issued under the Plan shall be payable in lawful money of the United States of America at the time when such Shares are purchased, except as provided in Section 8(b) through Section 8(g) below.
- (b) SURRENDER OF STOCK. To the extent that a Stock Option Agreement so provides, payment may be made all or in part by surrendering, or attesting to the ownership of, Shares which have already been owned by the Optionee or his representative. Such Shares shall be valued at their Fair Market Value on the date when the new Shares are purchased under the Plan. The Optionee shall not surrender, or attest to the ownership of, Shares in payment of the Exercise Price if such action would cause the Company to recognize compensation expense (or additional compensation expense) with respect to the Option for financial reporting purposes.
- (c) SERVICES RENDERED. At the discretion of the Administrator, Shares may be awarded under the Plan in consideration of services rendered to the Company or a Subsidiary prior to the award. If Shares are awarded without the payment of a Purchase Price in cash, the Administrator shall make a determination (at the time of the award) of the value of the services rendered by the Offeree and the sufficiency of the consideration to meet the requirements of Section 6(b).
- (d) CASHLESS EXERCISE. To the extent that a Stock Option Agreement so provides, payment may be made all or in part by delivery (on a form prescribed by the Administrator) of an irrevocable direction to a securities broker to sell Shares and to deliver all or part of the sale proceeds to the Company in payment of the aggregate Exercise Price.
- (e) EXERCISE/PLEDGE. To the extent that a Stock Option Agreement so provides, payment may be made all or in part by delivery (on a form prescribed by the Administrator) of an irrevocable direction to a securities broker or lender to pledge Shares, as security for a loan, and to deliver all or part of the loan proceeds to the Company in payment of the aggregate Exercise Price.
- (f) PROMISSORY NOTE. To the extent that a Stock Option Agreement or Restricted Stock Agreement so provides, payment may be made all or in part by delivering (on a form prescribed by the Company) a full-recourse promissory note. However, the par value of the Common Shares being purchased under the Plan, if newly issued, shall be paid in cash or cash equivalents.
- (g) OTHER FORMS OF PAYMENT. To the extent that a Stock Option Agreement or Restricted Stock Agreement so provides, payment may be made in any other form that is consistent with applicable laws, regulations and rules.

11

(h) LIMITATIONS UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. Notwithstanding anything herein or in a Stock Option Agreement or Restricted Stock Agreement to the contrary, payment may not be made in any form that is unlawful, as determined by the Administrator in its sole discretion.

- (a) SAR AGREEMENT. Each grant of a SAR under the Plan shall be evidenced by a SAR Agreement between the Optionee and the Company. Such SAR shall be subject to all applicable terms of the Plan and may be subject to any other terms that are not inconsistent with the Plan. The provisions of the various SAR Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical. SARs may be granted in consideration of a reduction in the Optionee's other compensation.
- (b) NUMBER OF SHARES. Each SAR Agreement shall specify the number of Shares to which the SAR pertains and shall provide for the adjustment of such number in accordance with Section 11.
- (c) EXERCISE PRICE. Each SAR Agreement shall specify the Exercise Price. A SAR Agreement may specify an Exercise Price that varies in accordance with a predetermined formula while the SAR is outstanding.
- (d) EXERCISABILITY AND TERM. Each SAR Agreement shall specify the date when all or any installment of the SAR is to become exercisable. The SAR Agreement shall also specify the term of the SAR. A SAR Agreement may provide for accelerated exercisability in the event of the Optionee's death, disability or retirement or other events and may provide for expiration prior to the end of its term in the event of the termination of the Optionee's service. SARs may be awarded in combination with Options, and such an Award may provide that the SARs will not be exercisable unless the related Options are forfeited. A SAR may be included in an ISO only at the time of grant but may be included in an NSO at the time of grant or thereafter. A SAR granted under the Plan may provide that it will be exercisable only in the event of a Change in Control.
- (e) EFFECT OF CHANGE IN CONTROL. The Administrator may determine, at the time of granting a SAR or thereafter, that such SAR shall become fully exercisable as to all Common Shares subject to such SAR in the event that a Change in Control occurs with respect to the Company.
- (f) EXERCISE OF SARS. Upon exercise of a SAR, the Optionee (or any person having the right to exercise the SAR after his or her death) shall receive from the Company (a) Shares, (b) cash or (c) a combination of Shares and cash, as the Administrator shall determine. The amount of cash and/or the Fair Market Value of Shares received upon exercise of SARs shall, in the aggregate, be equal to the amount by which the Fair Market Value (on the date of surrender) of the Shares subject to the SARs exceeds the Exercise Price.

12

(g) MODIFICATION OR ASSUMPTION OF SARS. Within the limitations of the Plan, the Administrator may modify, extend or assume outstanding SARs or may accept the cancellation of outstanding SARs (whether granted by the Company or by another issuer) in return for the grant of new SARs for the same or a different number of shares and at the same or a different exercise price. The foregoing notwithstanding, no modification of a SAR shall, without the consent of the holder, materially impair his or her rights or obligations under such SAR.

SECTION 10. STOCK UNITS.

- (a) STOCK UNIT AGREEMENT. Each grant of Stock Units under the Plan shall be evidenced by a Stock Unit Agreement between the recipient and the Company. Such Stock Units shall be subject to all applicable terms of the Plan and may be subject to any other terms that are not inconsistent with the Plan. The provisions of the various Stock Unit Agreements entered into under the Plan need not be identical. Stock Units may be granted in consideration of a reduction in the recipient's other compensation.
- (b) PAYMENT FOR AWARDS. To the extent that an Award is granted in the form of Stock Units, no cash consideration shall be required of the Award recipients.
- (c) VESTING CONDITIONS. Each Award of Stock Units may or may not be subject to vesting. Vesting shall occur, in full or in installments, upon satisfaction of the conditions specified in the Stock Unit Agreement. A Stock Unit Agreement may provide

for accelerated vesting in the event of the Participant's death, disability or retirement or other events. The Administrator may determine, at the time of granting Stock Units or thereafter, that all or part of such Stock Units shall become vested in the event that a Change in Control occurs with respect to the Company.

- (d) VOTING AND DIVIDEND RIGHTS. The holders of Stock Units shall have no voting rights. Prior to settlement or forfeiture, any Stock Unit awarded under the Plan may, at the Administrator's discretion, carry with it a right to dividend equivalents. Such right entitles the holder to be credited with an amount equal to all cash dividends paid on one Share while the Stock Unit is outstanding. Dividend equivalents may be converted into additional Stock Units. Settlement of dividend equivalents may be made in the form of cash, in the form of Shares, or in a combination of both. Prior to distribution, any dividend equivalents which are not paid shall be subject to the same conditions and restrictions (including without limitation, any forfeiture conditions) as the Stock Units to which they attach.
- (e) FORM AND TIME OF SETTLEMENT OF STOCK UNITS. Settlement of vested Stock Units may be made in the form of (a) cash, (b) Shares or (c) any combination of both, as determined by the Administrator. The actual number of Stock Units eligible for settlement may be larger or smaller than the number included in the original Award, based on predetermined performance factors. Methods of converting Stock Units into cash may include (without limitation) a method based on the average Fair Market Value of Shares over a series of trading days. Vested Stock Units may be settled in a lump sum or in installments. The distribution may occur or commence when all vesting conditions applicable to the Stock Units have been satisfied or have lapsed, or it may be deferred to any later date. The amount of a deferred distribution may be increased by an

13

interest factor or by dividend equivalents. Until an Award of Stock Units is settled, the number of such Stock Units shall be subject to adjustment pursuant to Section 11.

- (f) DEATH OF RECIPIENT. Any Stock Units Award that becomes payable after the recipient's death shall be distributed to the recipient's beneficiary or beneficiaries. Each recipient of a Stock Units Award under the Plan shall designate one or more beneficiaries for this purpose by filing the prescribed form with the Company. A beneficiary designation may be changed by filing the prescribed form with the Company at any time before the Award recipient's death. If no beneficiary was designated or if no designated beneficiary survives the Award recipient, then any Stock Units Award that becomes payable after the recipient's death shall be distributed to the recipient's estate.
- (g) CREDITORS' RIGHTS. A holder of Stock Units shall have no rights other than those of a general creditor of the Company. Stock Units represent an unfunded and unsecured obligation of the Company, subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable Stock Unit Agreement.

SECTION 11. ADJUSTMENT OF SHARES.

- (a) ADJUSTMENTS. In the event of a subdivision of the outstanding Stock, a declaration of a dividend payable in Shares, a declaration of a dividend payable in a form other than Shares in an amount that has a material effect on the price of Shares, a combination or consolidation of the outstanding Stock (by reclassification or otherwise) into a lesser number of Shares, a recapitalization, a spin-off or a similar occurrence, the Administrator shall make such adjustments as it, in its sole discretion, deems appropriate in one or more of:
 - (i) The number of Options, SARs, Restricted Shares and Stock Units available for future Awards under Section 5:
 - (ii) The limitations set forth in Sections 5(a) and (b);
 - (iii) The number of Shares covered by each outstanding

Option and SAR;

- (iv) The Exercise Price under each outstanding Option and SAR; or
- (v) The number of Stock Units included in any prior Award which has not yet been settled.

Except as provided in this Section 11, a Participant shall have no rights by reason of any issue by the Company of stock of any class or securities convertible into stock of any class, any subdivision or consolidation of shares of stock of any class, the payment of any stock dividend or any other increase or decrease in the number of shares of stock of any class.

(b) DISSOLUTION OR LIQUIDATION. To the extent not previously exercised or settled, Options, SARs and Stock Units shall terminate immediately prior to the dissolution or liquidation of the Company.

14

- (c) REORGANIZATIONS. In the event that the Company is a party to a merger or other reorganization, outstanding Awards shall be subject to the agreement of merger or reorganization. Such agreement shall provide for:
 - (i) The continuation of the outstanding Awards by the Company, if the Company is a surviving corporation;
 - (ii) The assumption of the outstanding Awards by the surviving corporation or its parent or subsidiary;
 - (iii) The substitution by the surviving corporation or its parent or subsidiary of its own awards for the outstanding Awards;
 - (iv) Full exercisability or vesting and accelerated expiration of the outstanding Awards; or
 - (v) Settlement of the full value of the outstanding Awards in cash or cash equivalents followed by cancellation of such Awards.
- RESERVATION OF RIGHTS. Except as provided in this Section 11, (d) an Optionee or Offeree shall have no rights by reason of any subdivision or consolidation of shares of stock of any class, the payment of any dividend or any other increase or decrease in the number of shares of stock of any class. Any issue by the Company of shares of stock of any class, or securities convertible into shares of stock of any class, shall not affect, and no adjustment by reason thereof shall be made with respect to, the number or Exercise Price of Shares subject to an Option. The grant of an Option pursuant to the Plan shall not affect in any way the right or power of the Company to make adjustments, reclassifications, reorganizations or changes of its capital or business structure, to merge or consolidate or to dissolve, liquidate, sell or transfer all or any part of its business or assets.

SECTION 12. DEFERRAL OF AWARDS.

The Administrator (in its sole discretion) may permit or require a Participant to:

- (a) Have cash that otherwise would be paid to such Participant as a result of the exercise of a SAR or the settlement of Stock Units credited to a deferred compensation account established for such Participant by the Administrator as an entry on the Company's books;
- (b) Have Shares that otherwise would be delivered to such Participant as a result of the exercise of an Option or SAR converted into an equal number of Stock Units; or
- (c) Have Shares that otherwise would be delivered to such Participant as a result of the exercise of an Option or SAR or the settlement of Stock Units converted into amounts credited to a deferred compensation account established for such Participant by the Administrator as an entry on the Company's books. Such amounts shall be determined by reference to the

15

A deferred compensation account established under this Section 12 may be credited with interest or other forms of investment return, as determined by the Administrator. A Participant for whom such an account is established shall have no rights other than those of a general creditor of the Company. Such an account shall represent an unfunded and unsecured obligation of the Company and shall be subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable agreement between such Participant and the Company. If the deferral or conversion of Awards is permitted or required, the Administrator (in its sole discretion) may establish rules, procedures and forms pertaining to such Awards, including (without limitation) the settlement of deferred compensation accounts established under this Section 12.

SECTION 13. AWARDS UNDER OTHER PLANS.

The Company may grant awards under other plans or programs. Such awards may be settled in the form of Shares issued under this Plan. Such Shares shall be treated for all purposes under the Plan like Shares issued in settlement of Stock Units and shall, when issued, reduce the number of Shares available under Section 5.

SECTION 14. PAYMENT OF DIRECTOR'S FEES IN SECURITIES.

- (a) EFFECTIVE DATE. No provision of this Section 14 shall be effective unless and until the Board of Directors has determined to implement such provision.
- (b) ELECTIONS TO RECEIVE NSOS, RESTRICTED SHARES OR STOCK UNITS. An Outside Director may elect to receive his or her annual retainer payments and/or meeting fees from the Company, if any, in the form of cash, NSOs, Restricted Shares or Stock Units, or a combination thereof, as determined by the Board of Directors. Such NSOs, Restricted Shares and Stock Units shall be issued under the Plan. An election under this Section 14 shall be filed with the Company on the prescribed form.
- (c) NUMBER AND TERMS OF NSOS, RESTRICTED SHARES OR STOCK UNITS. The number of NSOs, Restricted Shares or Stock Units to be granted to Outside Directors in lieu of annual retainers and meeting fees that would otherwise be paid in cash shall be calculated in a manner determined by the Board of Directors. The terms of such NSOs, Restricted Shares or Stock Units shall also be determined by the Board of Directors.

SECTION 15. LEGAL AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.

Shares shall not be issued under the Plan unless the issuance and delivery of such Shares complies with (or is exempt from) all applicable requirements of law, including (without limitation) the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, state securities laws and regulations and the regulations of any stock exchange on which the Company's securities may then be listed, and the Company has obtained the approval or favorable ruling from any governmental agency which the Company determines is necessary or advisable. The Company shall not be liable to a Participant or other persons as to: (a) the non-issuance or sale of Shares as to which the Company has been unable to obtain from any regulatory body having jurisdiction the authority deemed by the Company's counsel to be necessary to the lawful issuance and sale of any Shares under the Plan; and (b) any tax

16

consequences expected, but not realized, by any Participant or other person due to the receipt, exercise or settlement of any Award granted under the Plan.

SECTION 16. WITHHOLDING TAXES.

- (a) GENERAL. To the extent required by applicable federal, state, local or foreign law, a Participant or his or her successor shall make arrangements satisfactory to the Company for the satisfaction of any withholding tax obligations that arise in connection with the Plan. The Company shall not be required to issue any Shares or make any cash payment under the Plan until such obligations are satisfied.
- (b) SHARE WITHHOLDING. The Administrator may permit a Participant to satisfy all or part of his or her withholding or income tax obligations by having the Company withhold all or a portion of

any Shares that otherwise would be issued to him or her or by surrendering all or a portion of any Shares that he or she previously acquired. Such Shares shall be valued at their Fair Market Value on the date when taxes otherwise would be withheld in cash. In no event may a Participant have Shares withheld that would otherwise be issued to him or her in excess of the number necessary to satisfy the legally required minimum tax withholding.

SECTION 17. OTHER PROVISIONS APPLICABLE TO AWARDS.

- (a) TRANSFERABILITY. Unless the agreement evidencing an Award (or an amendment thereto authorized by the Administrator) expressly provides otherwise, no Award granted under this Plan, nor any interest in such Award, may be sold, assigned, conveyed, gifted, pledged, hypothecated or otherwise transferred in any manner (prior to the vesting and lapse of any and all restrictions applicable to Shares issued under such Award), other than by will or the laws of descent and distribution; provided, however, that an ISO may be transferred or assigned only to the extent consistent with Section 422 of the Code. Any purported assignment, transfer or encumbrance in violation of this Section 17(a) shall be void and unenforceable against the Company.
- QUALIFYING PERFORMANCE CRITERIA. The number of Shares or other (b) benefits granted, issued, retainable and/or vested under an Award may be made subject to the attainment of performance goals for a specified period of time relating to one or more of the following performance criteria, either individually, alternatively or in any combination, applied to either the Company as a whole or to a business unit or Subsidiary, either individually, alternatively or in any combination, and measured either annually or cumulatively over a period of years, on an absolute basis or relative to a pre-established target, to previous years' results or to a designated comparison group or index, in each case as specified by the Administrator in the Award: (a) cash flow, (b) earnings per share, (c) earnings before interest, taxes and amortization, (d) return on equity, (e) total stockholder return, (f) share price performance, (g) return on capital, (h) return on assets or net assets, (i) revenue, (j) income or net income, (k) operating income or net operating income, (1) operating profit or net operating profit, (m) operating margin or profit margin, (n) return on operating revenue, (o) return on invested capital, or (p) market segment shares ("Qualifying Performance Criteria"). The Administrator may appropriately adjust any evaluation of performance under a Qualifying Performance Criteria to exclude any of the following events that occurs during a performance period: (i) asset write-downs, (ii) litigation or

17

claim judgments or settlements, (iii) the effect of changes in tax law, accounting principles or other such laws or provisions affecting reported results, (iv) accruals for reorganization and restructuring programs and (v) any extraordinary nonrecurring items as described in Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30 and/or in managements' discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations appearing in the Company's annual report to stockholders for the applicable year. The Administrator shall determine the Qualifying Performance Criteria not later than the 90th day of the performance period, and shall determine and certify, for each Participant, the extent to which the Qualifying Performance Criteria have been met. The Administrator may not in any event increase the amount of compensation payable under the Plan upon the attainment of a Qualifying Performance Goal to a Participant who is a "covered employee" within the meaning of Section 162 (m) of the Code.

SECTION 18. NO EMPLOYMENT RIGHTS.

No provision of the Plan, nor any right or Option granted under the Plan, shall be construed to give any person any right to become, to be treated as, or to remain an Employee. The Company and its Subsidiaries reserve the right to terminate any person's Service at any time and for any reason, with or without notice.

SECTION 19. DURATION AND AMENDMENTS.

(a) TERM OF THE PLAN. The Plan, as set forth herein, shall

terminate automatically on July 19, 2016 and may be terminated on any earlier date pursuant to Subsection (b) below.

- (b) RIGHT TO AMEND OR TERMINATE THE PLAN. The Board of Directors may amend the Plan at any time and from time to time. Rights and obligations under any Award granted before amendment of the Plan shall not be materially impaired by such amendment, except with consent of the Participant. An amendment of the Plan shall be subject to the approval of the Company's stockholders only to the extent required by applicable laws, regulations or rules.
- (c) EFFECT OF TERMINATION. No Awards shall be granted under the Plan after the termination thereof. The termination of the Plan shall not affect Awards previously granted under the Plan

SECTION 20. EXECUTION.

To record the adoption of the Plan by the Board of Directors, the Company has caused its authorized officer to execute the same.

CNS RESPONSE, INC.

By: /s/ Leonard J. Brandt

Leonard J. Brandt Chief Executive Officer Certification of CEO Pursuant to
Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14
as Adopted Pursuant to
Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- I, Leonard J. Brandt, certify that:
- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-QSB of CNS Response, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the small business issuer and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- c) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 14, 2007

/s/ Leonard J. Brandt

Leonard J. Brandt

Leonard J. Brandt

President and Chief Executive Officer

Certification of CFO Pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14 as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Horace Hertz, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-QSB of CNS Response, Inc.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the small business issuer as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the small business issuer and have:
- a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the small business issuer, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
- b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the small business issuer's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
- c) Disclosed in this report any change in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the small business issuer's most recent fiscal quarter (the small business issuer's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The small business issuer's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the small business issuer's auditors and the audit committee of the small business issuer's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the small business issuer's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 14, 2007

/s/ Horace Hertz
-----Horace Hertz
Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350 AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, in connection with the filing of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the Quarter Ended March 31, 2007 (the "Report") by CNS Response, Inc. ("Registrant"), each of the undersigned hereby certifies that:

- to the best of our knowledge, the Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2. to the best of our knowledge, the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Registrant.

Date: May 14, 2007 /s/ Leonard J. Brandt

Leonard J. Brandt President and

Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 14, 2007 /s/ Horace Hertz

Horace Hertz

Chief Financial Officer